Elliptic Ding-Iohara Algebra and the Free Field Realization of the Elliptic Macdonald Operator

Yosuke Saito

Mathematical Institute, Tohoku University,
Sendai, Japan.

March 21, 2013

Abstract

The Ding-Iohara algebra [15][16][17] is a quantum algebra arising from the free field realization of the Macdonald operator. Starting from the elliptic kernel function introduced by Komori, Noumi and Shiraishi [18], we can define an elliptic analog of the Ding-Iohara algebra. The free field realization of the elliptic Macdonald operator is also constructed.

Contents

Notations. In this paper, we use the following symbols.

$\mathbf{Z}$: The set of integers, $\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0} := \{0, 1, 2, \cdots\}$, $\mathbf{Z}_{>0} := \{1, 2, \cdots\}$,

$\mathbf{Q}$: The set of rational numbers, $\mathbf{Q}(q, t)$: The field of rational functions of q, t over $\mathbf{Q}$,

$\mathbf{C}$: The set of complex numbers, $\mathbf{C}^{\times} := \mathbf{C} \setminus \{0\}$,

$\mathbf{C}[[z, z^{-1}]]$: The set of formal power series of z, z^{-1} over $\mathbf{C}$,

The q-infinite product : $(x; q)_{\infty} := \prod_{n \geq 0} (1 - xq^n)$ $(|q| < 1)$, $(x; q)_n := \dfrac{(x; q)_{\infty}}{(q^n x; q)_{\infty}}$ $(n \in \mathbf{Z})$,

The theta function : $\Theta_p(x) := (p; p)_{\infty}(x; p)_{\infty}(px^{-1}; p)_{\infty}$,

The double infinite product : $(x; q, p)_{\infty} := \prod_{m,n \geq 0} (1 - xq^m p^n)$,

The elliptic gamma function : $\Gamma_{q,p}(x) := \dfrac{(qpx^{-1}; q, p)_{\infty}}{(x; q, p)_{\infty}}$.

For the theta function and the elliptic gamma function, the following relations hold.

$$\Theta_p(x) = -x\Theta_p(x^{-1}), \quad \Theta_p(px) = -x^{-1}\Theta_p(x),$$
$$\Gamma_{q,p}(qx) = \frac{\Theta_p(x)}{(p; p)_{\infty}}\Gamma_{q,p}(x), \quad \Gamma_{q,p}(px) = \frac{\Theta_q(x)}{(q; q)_{\infty}}\Gamma_{q,p}(x).$$

1   Introduction

The aims of this paper are to introduce an elliptic analog of the Ding-Iohara algebra and to construct the free field realization of the elliptic Macdonald operator. We accomplish them by starting from the elliptic kernel function defined below. Let us explain backgrounds and some motivations.

The relation between quantum algebras and the Macdonald symmetric functions has been studied by several authors. In these works, one of the most remarkable work is the construction of the q-Virasoro algebra and the q-W_N algebra by Awata, Odake, Kubo, and Shiraishi [10][11][12]. It is known that the singular vectors of the Virasoro algebra and the W_N algebra correspond to the Jack symmetric functions [8]. On the other hand, the Macdonald symmetric functions are q-analog of the Jack symmetric functions [2][3]. Then Awata, Odake, Kubo, and Shiraishi constructed the q-Virasoro algebra and the

q-W_N algebra whose singular vectors correspond to the Macdonald symmetric functions :

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
q\text{-Virasoro algebra, } q\text{-}W_N \text{ algebra} & \xrightarrow{\text{singular vector}} & \text{Macdonald symmetric functions} \\
\uparrow q\text{-deformation} & & \uparrow q\text{-deformation} \\
\text{Virasoro algebra, } W_N \text{ algebra} & \xrightarrow{\text{singular vector}} & \text{Jack symmetric functions}
\end{array}
$$

In the middle of 2000's, another stream occurs from the free field realization of the Macdonald operator. The Macdonald operator $H_N(q,t)$ ($N \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0}$) is defined by

$$
H_N(q,t) := \sum_{i=1}^{N} \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{tx_i - x_j}{x_i - x_j} T_{q,x_i} \quad (T_{q,x_i} f(x_1, \cdots, x_N) := f(x_1, \cdots, qx_i, \cdots, x_N)) \quad (1.1)
$$

and the free field realization of the Macdonald operator tells us that we can reproduce the operator by boson operators. As we will see in section 2, the free field realization of the Macdonald operator is based on the form of the kernel function defined by

$$
\Pi(q,t)(x,y) := \prod_{i,j} \frac{(tx_i y_j; q)_\infty}{(x_i y_j; q)_\infty}. \quad (1.2)
$$

It has been realized that from the free field realization of the Macdonald operator, a certain quantum algebra arises, *the Ding-Iohara algebra* [15][16][17]. Recently this algebra has been applied to several materials of mathematical physics, such as the AGT conjecture [19][20][21], as well as the refined topological vertex which is used to calculate amplitudes and partition functions in the topological string theory [22].

On the other hand, in the elliptic theory side it is well-known that the Macdonald operator allows the elliptic analog defined by [1],

$$
H_N(q,t,p) := \sum_{i=1}^{N} \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{\Theta_p(tx_i/x_j)}{\Theta_p(x_i/x_j)} T_{q,x_i}, \quad (1.3)
$$

as well as the kernel function for this operator introduced by Komori, Noumi, and Shiraishi [18] :

$$
\Pi(q,t,p)(x,y) := \prod_{i,j} \frac{\Gamma_{q,p}(x_i y_j)}{\Gamma_{q,p}(tx_i y_j)}. \quad (1.4)
$$

Since the free field realization of the Macdonald operator is available, that the above operator (1.3) can be derived from the free field realization will be a natural expect. In [17], Feigin, Hashizume, Hoshino, Shiraishi, Yanagida constructed the free field realization of the elliptic Macdonald operator and an elliptic analog of the Ding-Iohara algebra based on the idea of the quasi-Hopf twist. It is crucial that the authors of [17] noticed if one want to treat the elliptic Macdonald operator in the context of the free field realization, the Ding-Iohara algebra should become elliptic. However it is not clear whether the materials treated in [17] have connections to the elliptic kernel function. Hence the following problem remained open :

> Construct the free field realization of the elliptic Macdonald operator $H_N(q,t,p)$ and the elliptic Ding-Iohara algebra which have connections to the elliptic kernel function $\Pi(q,t,p)(x,y)$.

Our strategy to solve the above problem is the following. Since the free field realization of the Macdonald operator is based on the form of the kernel function, it is plausible that one can construct the free field realization of the elliptic Macdonald operator from the elliptic kernel function. It turns out this leads to another elliptic analog of the Ding-Iohara algebra :

Elliptic Macdonald operator $\xrightarrow{\text{free field realization !}}$ **Elliptic Ding-Iohara algebra**
$H_N(q,t,p)$ $\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad$ $\mathcal{U}(q,t,p)$

$\uparrow$ elliptic deformation $\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad$ $\uparrow$ elliptic deformation !

Macdonald operator $H_N(q,t)$ $\xrightarrow{\text{free field realization}}$ Ding-Iohara algebra $\mathcal{U}(q,t)$

Our main results are as follows.

Definition 1.1 (Definition 3.9 in section 3) (**Elliptic Ding-Iohara algebra $\mathcal{U}(q,t,p)$**). Let us define the structure function $g_p(x)$ by

$$g_p(x) := \frac{\Theta_p(qx)\Theta_p(t^{-1}x)\Theta_p(q^{-1}tx)}{\Theta_p(q^{-1}x)\Theta_p(tx)\Theta_p(qt^{-1}x)}.$$

Here we have used the notation in page 2, and assume $|q| < 1$, $|p| < 1$. We define the elliptic Ding-Iohara algebra $\mathcal{U}(q,t,p)$ to be the associative **C**-algebra generated by $\{x_n^{\pm}(p)\}_{n\in\mathbf{Z}}$, $\{\psi_n^{\pm}(p)\}_{n\in\mathbf{Z}}$ and γ subject to the following relation : we set γ as the central, invertible element and currents to be $x^{\pm}(p;z) := \sum_{n\in\mathbf{Z}} x_n^{\pm}(p)z^{-n}$, $\psi^{\pm}(p;z) := \sum_{n\in\mathbf{Z}} \psi_n^{\pm}(p)z^{-n}$.

$$[\psi^{\pm}(p;z), \psi^{\pm}(p;w)] = 0, \quad \psi^+(p;z)\psi^-(p;w) = \frac{g_p(\gamma z/w)}{g_p(\gamma^{-1}z/w)}\psi^-(p;w)\psi^+(p;z),$$

$$\psi^{\pm}(p;z)x^+(p;w) = g_p\left(\gamma^{\pm\frac{1}{2}}\frac{z}{w}\right)x^+(p;w)\psi^{\pm}(p;z),$$

$$\psi^{\pm}(p;z)x^-(p;w) = g_p\left(\gamma^{\mp\frac{1}{2}}\frac{z}{w}\right)^{-1}x^-(p;w)\psi^{\pm}(p;z),$$

$$x^{\pm}(p;z)x^{\pm}(p;w) = g_p\left(\frac{z}{w}\right)^{\pm 1}x^{\pm}(p;w)x^{\pm}(p;z),$$

$$[x^+(p;z), x^-(p;w)] = \frac{\Theta_p(q)\Theta_p(t^{-1})}{(p;p)_\infty^3\Theta_p(qt^{-1})}\left\{\delta\left(\gamma\frac{w}{z}\right)\psi^+(p;\gamma^{1/2}w) - \delta\left(\gamma^{-1}\frac{w}{z}\right)\psi^-(p;\gamma^{-1/2}w)\right\},$$

where we set the delta function $\delta(z) := \sum_{n\in\mathbf{Z}} z^n$.

The free field realization of the elliptic Ding-Iohara algebra $\mathcal{U}(q,t,p)$ is constructed as follows. First for the theta function $\Theta_p(x)$ one can check the following :

$$\Theta_p(x) \xrightarrow[p\to 0]{} 1 - x.$$

On the other hand, we can rewrite $1 - x$ and $\Theta_p(x)$ as follows :

$$1 - x = \exp\left(\log(1-x)\right) = \exp\left(-\sum_{n>0}\frac{x^n}{n}\right) \quad (|x| < 1),$$

$$\Theta_p(x) = (p;p)_\infty(x;p)_\infty(px^{-1};p)_\infty$$

$$= (p;p)_\infty \exp\left(\log(x;p)_\infty(px^{-1};p)_\infty\right)$$

$$= (p;p)_\infty \exp\left(-\sum_{n>0}\frac{p^n}{1-p^n}\frac{x^{-n}}{n}\right)\exp\left(-\sum_{n>0}\frac{1}{1-p^n}\frac{x^n}{n}\right) \quad (|p| < |x| < 1).$$

From these expressions, one can notice a procedure of the elliptic deformation as follows :

$$1 - x = \exp\left(-\sum_{n>0} \frac{x^n}{n} \right) \xrightarrow[\substack{\text{elliptic} \\ \text{deformation}}]{} \exp\left(-\sum_{n>0} \frac{p^n}{1-p^n}\frac{x^{-n}}{n} \right) \exp\left(-\sum_{n>0} \frac{1}{1-p^n}\frac{x^n}{n} \right)$$

$$= \frac{\Theta_p(x)}{(p;p)_\infty}.$$

We can also recognize the above process as follows :

(1) Take the substitution as $\quad 1 - x = \exp\left(-\sum_{n>0} \frac{x^n}{n} \right) \to \exp\left(-\sum_{n>0} \frac{1}{1-p^n}\frac{x^n}{n} \right).$

(2) Multiply the above by the negative power part of x as

$$\exp\left(-\sum_{n>0} \frac{1}{1-p^n}\frac{x^n}{n} \right) \to \exp\left(-\sum_{n>0} \frac{p^n}{1-p^n}\frac{x^{-n}}{n} \right) \exp\left(-\sum_{n>0} \frac{1}{1-p^n}\frac{x^n}{n} \right) = \frac{\Theta_p(x)}{(p;p)_\infty}.$$

As is shown in this paper, for boson operators the procedure of the elliptic deformation similar to the above process is available (for example, see the proposition 3.1 in section 3 or the definition 5.1 in section 5). Using two sets of boson generators, we can reproduce the theta function and the elliptic gamma function from OPE (Operator Product Expansion) of boson operators. Consequently, we have the following.

Theorem 1.2 (Theorem 3.8 in section 3) (**Free field realization of the elliptic Ding-Iohara algebra** $\mathcal{U}(q,t,p)$). *Let us define an algebra* $\mathcal{B}_{a,b}$ *of bosons : it is generated by* $a = \{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbf{Z}\setminus\{0\}}$, $b = \{b_n\}_{n\in\mathbf{Z}\setminus\{0\}}$ *with the following relations :*

$$[a_m, a_n] = m(1 - p^{|m|})\frac{1 - q^{|m|}}{1 - t^{|m|}}\delta_{m+n,0}, \quad [b_m, b_n] = m\frac{1 - p^{|m|}}{(qt^{-1}p)^{|m|}}\frac{1 - q^{|m|}}{1 - t^{|m|}}\delta_{m+n,0},$$

$$[a_m, b_n] = 0.$$

We define the boson Fock space $\mathcal{F}$ *to be the left* $\mathcal{B}_{a,b}$ *module generated by the vacuum vector* $|0\rangle$ *which satisfies* $a_n|0\rangle = b_n|0\rangle = 0 \,(n > 0)$:

$$\mathcal{F} = span\{a_{-\lambda}b_{-\mu}|0\rangle : \lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{P}\},$$

where $\mathcal{P}$ *denotes the set of partitions and* $a_{-\lambda} := a_{-\lambda_1}\cdots a_{-\lambda_{\ell(\lambda)}}$ *for a partition* λ. *Set* $\gamma := (qt^{-1})^{-1/2}$ *and define operators* $\eta(p;z)$, $\xi(p;z)$, $\varphi^\pm(p;z) : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathbf{C}[[z, z^{-1}]]$ *as follows :*

$$\eta(p;z) :=\, : \exp\left(-\sum_{n\neq 0} \frac{1-t^{-n}}{1-p^{|n|}}p^{|n|}b_n\frac{z^n}{n} \right) \exp\left(-\sum_{n\neq 0} \frac{1-t^n}{1-p^{|n|}}a_n\frac{z^{-n}}{n} \right) :,$$

$$\xi(p;z) :=\, : \exp\left(\sum_{n\neq 0} \frac{1-t^{-n}}{1-p^{|n|}}\gamma^{-|n|}p^{|n|}b_n\frac{z^n}{n} \right) \exp\left(\sum_{n\neq 0} \frac{1-t^n}{1-p^{|n|}}\gamma^{|n|}a_n\frac{z^{-n}}{n} \right) :,$$

$$\varphi^+(p;z) :=\, : \eta(p;\gamma^{1/2}z)\xi(p;\gamma^{-1/2}z) :, \quad \varphi^-(p;z) :=\, : \eta(p;\gamma^{-1/2}z)\xi(p;\gamma^{1/2}z) :\, .$$

Then the map defined by

$$x^+(p;z) \mapsto \eta(p;z), \quad x^-(p;z) \mapsto \xi(p;z), \quad \psi^\pm(p;z) \mapsto \varphi^\pm(p;z)$$

gives a representation of the elliptic Ding-Iohara algebra $\mathcal{U}(q,t,p)$.

Theorem 1.3 (Theorem 4.4 in section 4) (**Free field realization of the elliptic Macdonald operator**). *Let $\phi(p;z) : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathbf{C}[[z, z^{-1}]]$ be an operator defined as*

$$\phi(p;z) := \exp\left(\sum_{n>0} \frac{(1-t^n)(qt^{-1}p)^n}{(1-q^n)(1-p^n)} b_{-n} \frac{z^{-n}}{n}\right) \exp\left(\sum_{n>0} \frac{1-t^n}{(1-q^n)(1-p^n)} a_{-n} \frac{z^n}{n}\right).$$

Then the operator $\eta(p;z)$ in the Theorem 1.2 and the operator $\phi(p;z)$ reproduce the elliptic Macdonald operator $H_N(q,t,p)$ as follows :

$$[\eta(p;z) - t^{-N}(\eta(p;z))_- (\eta(p;p^{-1}z))_+]_1 \prod_{j=1}^{N} \phi(p;x_j)|0\rangle$$

$$= \frac{t^{-N+1}\Theta_p(t^{-1})}{(p;p)_\infty^3} H_N(q,t,p) \prod_{j=1}^{N} \phi(p;x_j)|0\rangle,$$

where $(\eta(p;z))_\pm$ stands for the plus and minus parts of $\eta(p;z)$ respectively as

$$(\eta(p;z))_\pm = \exp\left(-\sum_{\pm n>0} \frac{1-t^{-n}}{1-p^{|n|}} p^{|n|} b_n \frac{z^n}{n}\right) \exp\left(-\sum_{\pm n>0} \frac{1-t^n}{1-p^{|n|}} a_n \frac{z^{-n}}{n}\right)$$

and $[f(z)]_1$ denotes the constant term of $f(z)$ in z.

Organization of this paper.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we give a review of the trigonometric case. In section 3, we show how we can obtain the elliptic Ding-Iohara algebra. First, we define the elliptic kernel function introduced by Komori, Noumi and Shiraishi [18]. This is regarded as an important function to construct an elliptic analog of the Macdonald symmetric functions. Second, from the elliptic kernel function, we define elliptic currents denoted by $\eta(p;z)$, $\xi(p;z)$, and $\varphi^\pm(p;z)$ which satisfy elliptic deformed relations of Ding-Iohara algebra's. Consequently, we can define the elliptic Ding-Iohara algebra $\mathcal{U}(q,t,p)$.

In section 4, to clarify whether the elliptic Macdonald operator can be represented by $\eta(p;z)$, we study relations between the elliptic current $\eta(p;z)$ and the elliptic Macdonald operator. We derive the free field realization for the elliptic Macdonald operator in the form of the Theorem 1.3.

In section 5, some observations and remarks are given, and section 6 is appendix which contains proofs of Wick's theorem, Ramanujan's summation formula, the formula of the partial fraction expansion involving the theta functions.

2   A review of the trigonometric case

In this section, before considering the elliptic case we review some materials which construct backgrounds of this paper ; the Macdonald symmetric functions, the free field realization of the Macdonald operator, and the Ding-Iohara algebra.

2.1   Macdonald symmetric functions

First, we give some notations of symmetric polynomials and symmetric functions [2][3][14]. Let $q, t \in \mathbf{C}$ be parameters and assume $|q| < 1$. We denote the N-th symmetric group

by $\mathfrak{S}_N$ and set $\Lambda_N(q,t) := \mathbf{Q}(q,t)[x_1,\cdots,x_N]^{\mathfrak{S}_N}$ as the space of N-variables symmetric polynomials over $\mathbf{Q}(q,t)$. If a sequence $\lambda = (\lambda_1,\cdots,\lambda_N) \in (\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0})^N$ satisfies the condition $\lambda_i \geq \lambda_{i+1}$ $(1 \leq \forall i \leq N)$, λ is called a partition. We denote the set of partitions by $\mathcal{P}$. For a partition λ, $\ell(\lambda) := \sharp\{i : \lambda_i \neq 0\}$ denotes the length of λ, and $|\lambda| := \sum_{i=1}^{\ell(\lambda)} \lambda_i$ denotes the size of λ.

For $\alpha = (\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_N) \in (\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0})^N$, we set $x^\alpha := x_1^{\alpha_1}\cdots x_N^{\alpha_N}$. For a partition λ, we define the monomial symmetric polynomial $m_\lambda(x)$ as follows :

$$m_\lambda(x) := \sum_{\alpha\,:\,\alpha \text{ is a permutation of } \lambda} x^\alpha.$$

As is well-known, $\{m_\lambda(x)\}_{\lambda\in\mathcal{P}}$ form a basis of $\Lambda_N(q,t)$. Let $p_n(x) := \sum_{i=1}^N x_i^n$ $(n \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0})$ be the power sum and for a partition λ, we define $p_\lambda(x) := p_{\lambda_1}(x)\cdots p_{\lambda_{\ell(\lambda)}}(x)$.

Let $\rho_N^{N+1} : \Lambda_{N+1}(q,t) \to \Lambda_N(q,t)$ be the homomorphism defined by

$$(\rho_N^{N+1}f)(x_1,\cdots,x_N) := f(x_1,\cdots,x_N,0) \quad (f \in \Lambda_{N+1}(q,t)).$$

Let us define the ring of symmetric functions $\Lambda(q,t)$ as the projective limit defined by $\{\rho_N^{N+1}\}_{N\geq 1}$:

$$\Lambda(q,t) := \varprojlim \Lambda_N(q,t).$$

It is known that $\{p_\lambda(x)\}_{\lambda\in\mathcal{P}}$ form a basis of $\Lambda(q,t)$. We define $n_\lambda(a) := \sharp\{i : \lambda_i = a\}$ and z_λ, $z_\lambda(q,t)$ as

$$z_\lambda := \prod_{a\geq 1} a^{n_\lambda(a)} n_\lambda(a)!, \quad z_\lambda(q,t) := z_\lambda \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\lambda)} \frac{1-q^{\lambda_i}}{1-t^{\lambda_i}}.$$

Then we define an inner product $\langle\,,\,\rangle_{q,t}$ as follows :

$$\langle p_\lambda(x), p_\mu(x)\rangle_{q,t} = \delta_{\lambda\mu} z_\lambda(q,t). \tag{2.1}$$

We define the kernel function $\Pi(q,t)(x,y)$ as follows :

$$\Pi(q,t)(x,y) := \prod_{i,j} \frac{(tx_iy_j;q)_\infty}{(x_iy_j;q)_\infty}. \tag{2.2}$$

Then we have the following.

$$\sum_{\lambda\in\mathcal{P}} \frac{1}{z_\lambda(q,t)} p_\lambda(x)p_\lambda(y) = \Pi(q,t)(x,y).$$

Remark 2.1. Assume that $u_\lambda(x)$, $v_\lambda(x)$ $(\lambda \in \mathcal{P})$ are homogeneous symmetric functions whose degree are $|\lambda|$, and $\{u_\lambda(x)\}_{\lambda\in\mathcal{P}}$ and $\{v_\lambda(x)\}_{\lambda\in\mathcal{P}}$ form a basis of $\Lambda(q,t)$ respectively. Then the following holds :

$\{u_\lambda(x)\}_{\lambda\in\mathcal{P}}$ and $\{v_\lambda(x)\}_{\lambda\in\mathcal{P}}$ are dual basis under the inner product $\langle\,,\,\rangle_{q,t}$.

$\Longleftrightarrow \{u_\lambda(x)\}_{\lambda\in\mathcal{P}}$ and $\{v_\lambda(x)\}_{\lambda\in\mathcal{P}}$ satisfy the relation $\displaystyle\sum_{\lambda\in\mathcal{P}} u_\lambda(x)v_\lambda(y) = \Pi(q,t)(x,y)$.

Due to this fact, the form of the inner product $\langle\,,\,\rangle_{q,t}$ is determined by the form of the kernel function $\Pi(q,t)(x,y)$.

The Macdonald symmetric functions are q-analog of the Schur symmetric functions and the Jack symmetric functions. The existence of the Macdonald symmetric functions due to Macdonald is stated as follows [2][3][14]. We define the order in $\mathcal{P}$ as follows :

$$\lambda \geq \mu \Longleftrightarrow |\lambda| = |\mu| \text{ and for all } i, \ \lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_i \geq \mu_1 + \cdots + \mu_i.$$

Theorem 2.2 (Existence theorem of the Macdonald symmetric functions). *For each partition λ, a symmetric function $P_\lambda(x) \in \Lambda(q,t)$ satisfying the following conditions uniquely exists.*

$$(1) \quad P_\lambda(x) = \sum_{\mu \leq \lambda} u_{\lambda\mu} m_\mu(x) \quad (u_{\lambda\mu} \in \mathbf{Q}(q,t)), \tag{2.3}$$

$$(2) \quad \lambda \neq \mu \Longrightarrow \langle P_\lambda(x), P_\mu(x) \rangle_{q,t} = 0. \tag{2.4}$$

Remark 2.3. Set $\langle \lambda \rangle_{q,t} := \langle P_\lambda(x), P_\lambda(x) \rangle_{q,t}$. Then the Macdonald symmetric functions satisfy the following relation.

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}} \frac{1}{\langle \lambda \rangle_{q,t}} P_\lambda(x) P_\lambda(y) = \Pi(q,t)(x,y).$$

This means that $\{P_\lambda(x)\}_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}}$ form a basis of $\Lambda(q,t)$.

For the Macdonald symmetric function $P_\lambda(x)$, we define the N-variable symmetric polynomial $P_\lambda(x_1, \cdots, x_N)$ as $P_\lambda(x_1, \cdots, x_N) := P_\lambda(x_1, \cdots, x_N, 0, 0, \cdots)$. We call it the N-variables Macdonald polynomials. We set the q-shift operator by

$$T_{q,x_i} f(x_1, \cdots, x_N) := f(x_1, \cdots, qx_i, \cdots, x_N)$$

and define the Macdonald operator $H_N(q,t) : \Lambda_N(q,t) \to \Lambda_N(q,t)$ as follows :

$$H_N(q,t) := \sum_{i=1}^{N} \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{tx_i - x_j}{x_i - x_j} T_{q,x_i}. \tag{2.5}$$

Proposition 2.4. *(1) For each partition $\lambda \, (\ell(\lambda) \leq N)$, $P_\lambda(x_1, \cdots, x_N)$ is an eigen function of the Macdonald operator :*

$$H_N(q,t) P_\lambda(x_1, \cdots, x_N) = \varepsilon_N(\lambda) P_\lambda(x_1, \cdots, x_N), \quad \varepsilon_N(\lambda) := \sum_{i=1}^{N} q^{\lambda_i} t^{N-i}. \tag{2.6}$$

(2) The kernel function $\Pi(q,t)(x,y)$ and the Macdonald operator $H_N(q,t)$ satisfy

$$H_N(q,t)_x \Pi(q,t)(x_1, \cdots, x_N, y_1, \cdots, y_N) = H_N(q,t)_y \Pi(q,t)(x_1, \cdots, x_N, y_1, \cdots, y_N). \tag{2.7}$$

Here we set $H_N(q,t)_x$, $H_N(q,t)_y$ as

$$H_N(q,t)_x := \sum_{i=1}^{N} \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{tx_i - x_j}{x_i - x_j} T_{q,x_i}, \quad H_N(q,t)_y := \sum_{i=1}^{N} \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{ty_i - y_j}{y_i - y_j} T_{q,y_i}.$$

2.2   Free field realization of the Macdonald operator

In this subsection, we show the free field realization of the Macdonald operator [14]. In the following, let $q, t \in \mathbf{C}$ be parameters and we assume $|q| < 1$. First we define the algebra $\mathcal{B}$ of boson to be generated by $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbf{Z} \setminus \{0\}}$ and the relation :

$$[a_m, a_n] = m \frac{1 - q^{|m|}}{1 - t^{|m|}} \delta_{m+n,0}. \tag{2.8}$$

We set the normal ordering $: \bullet :$ as

$$: a_m a_n := \begin{cases} a_m a_n & (m < n), \\ a_n a_m & (m \geq n). \end{cases}$$

Let $|0\rangle$ be the vacuum vector which satisfies $a_n |0\rangle = 0 \, (n > 0)$. For a partition λ, we set $a_{-\lambda} := a_{-\lambda_1} \cdots a_{-\lambda_{\ell(\lambda)}}$ and define the boson Fock space $\mathcal{F}$ as a left $\mathcal{B}$ module :

$$\mathcal{F} := \mathrm{span}\{a_{-\lambda}|0\rangle : \lambda \in \mathcal{P}\}.$$

We set the dual vacuum vector $\langle 0|$ which satisfies $\langle 0|a_n = 0 \, (n < 0)$. Similar to the definition of $\mathcal{F}$, we define the dual boson Fock space $\mathcal{F}^*$, as a right $\mathcal{B}$ module :

$$\mathcal{F}^* := \mathrm{span}\{\langle 0|a_\lambda : \lambda \in \mathcal{P}\} \quad (a_\lambda := a_{\lambda_1} \cdots a_{\lambda_{\ell(\lambda)}}).$$

Let us define a bilinear form $\langle \bullet | \bullet \rangle : \mathcal{F}^* \times \mathcal{F} \to \mathbf{C}$ by the following conditions.

$$(1) \; \langle 0|0 \rangle = 1, \quad (2) \; \langle 0|a_\lambda a_{-\mu}|0\rangle = \delta_{\lambda\mu} z_\lambda(q, t).$$

Remark 2.5. It is clear that the bilinear form defined above corresponds to the inner product $\langle \, , \rangle_{q,t}$ in (2.1). Therefore the relation (2.8) is determined by the inner product $\langle \, , \rangle_{q,t}$, or equivalently, by the form of the kernel function $\Pi(q, t)(x, y)$.

To reproduce the Macdonald operator from a boson operator, let us define an operator $\eta(z) : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathbf{C}[[z, z^{-1}]]$ as

$$\eta(z) := \, : \exp\left(-\sum_{n \neq 0}(1 - t^n)a_n \frac{z^{-n}}{n} \right) : . \tag{2.9}$$

We can check that $\eta(z)$ satisfies the relation (which is proved in Appendix A) :

$$\eta(z)\eta(w) = \frac{(1 - w/z)(1 - qt^{-1}w/z)}{(1 - qw/z)(1 - t^{-1}w/z)} : \eta(z)\eta(w) : . \tag{2.10}$$

The boson algebra $\mathcal{B}$ can be realized by the power sum $p_n(x) \in \Lambda_N(q, t)$ as follows.

$$a_n = n \frac{1 - q^n}{1 - t^n} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_n(x)}, \quad a_{-n} = p_n(x) \quad (n > 0).$$

Under this realization of $\mathcal{B}$, we can represent the Macdonald operator by using $\eta(z)$. First, the relation $T_{q,x_i} p_n(x) = (q^n - 1)x_i^n + p_n(x)$ holds, hence we have the following :

$$T_{q,x_i} = \exp\left(\sum_{n>0}(q^n - 1)x_i^n \frac{\partial}{\partial p_n(x)} \right) = (\eta(z))_+ \, |_{z=x_i^{-1}}, \tag{2.11}$$

where we use $e^{a\frac{d}{dx}}f(x) = f(x + a)$. Here and in what follows, we denote the plus and minus parts of operators by $(\bullet)_+$, $(\bullet)_-$, respectively. For example,

$$(\eta(z))_+ = \exp\left(-\sum_{n>0}(1 - t^n)a_n\frac{z^{-n}}{n}\right), \quad (\eta(z))_- = \exp\left(-\sum_{n<0}(1 - t^n)a_n\frac{z^{-n}}{n}\right).$$

In the following, $[f(z)]_1 = \oint \frac{dz}{2\pi iz}f(z)$ denotes the constant term of $f(z)$ in z. From (2.11), we have the following.

Proposition 2.6 (Free field realization of the Macdonald operator). *The Macdonald operator $H_N(q, t)$ is represented by the operator $\eta(z)$ as follows :*

$$[\eta(z)]_1 = t^{-N}\{(t - 1)H_N(q, t) + 1\}. \tag{2.12}$$

Proof. First we can check the following partial fraction expansion (which is proved in Appendix B) :

$$(\eta(z))_- = \prod_{i=1}^{N}\frac{1 - t^{-1}x_iz}{1 - x_iz} = \frac{1 - t}{1 - t^N}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\frac{1 - t^{-N}x_iz}{1 - x_iz}\prod_{j\neq i}\frac{tx_i - x_j}{x_i - x_j}. \tag{2.13}$$

Let us recall the formal expression of the delta function

$$\delta(x) = \sum_{n\in\mathbf{Z}}x^n = \frac{1}{1 - x} + \frac{x^{-1}}{1 - x^{-1}},$$

which should be recognized as the expression as the Sato hyperfunction [5]. Then we have

$$(\eta(z))_- = t^{-N}(t - 1)\sum_{i=1}^{N}\prod_{j\neq i}\frac{tx_i - x_j}{x_i - x_j}\delta(x_iz) + t^{-N}\frac{1 - t}{1 - t^N}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\frac{1 - t^Nx_i^{-1}z^{-1}}{1 - x_i^{-1}z^{-1}}\prod_{j\neq i}\frac{tx_i - x_j}{x_i - x_j}$$

$$= t^{-N}(t - 1)\sum_{i=1}^{N}\prod_{j\neq i}\frac{tx_i - x_j}{x_i - x_j}\delta(x_iz) + t^{-N}\prod_{i=1}^{N}\frac{1 - tx_i^{-1}z^{-1}}{1 - x_i^{-1}z^{-1}},$$

where we used the formula of the partial fraction expansion again. We also have

$$\eta(z) = (\eta(z))_-(\eta(z))_+$$

$$= t^{-N}(t - 1)\sum_{i=1}^{N}\prod_{j\neq i}\frac{tx_i - x_j}{x_i - x_j}\delta(x_iz)T_{q,x_i} + t^{-N}\prod_{i=1}^{N}\frac{1 - tx_i^{-1}z^{-1}}{1 - x_i^{-1}z^{-1}}(\eta(z))_+,$$

where we use $(\eta(z))_+|_{z=x_i^{-1}} = T_{q,x_i}$ in (2.11). By the equation

$$\left[\prod_{i=1}^{N}\frac{1 - tx_i^{-1}z^{-1}}{1 - x_i^{-1}z^{-1}}(\eta(z))_+\right]_1 = \left[\prod_{i=1}^{N}\frac{1 - tx_i^{-1}z}{1 - x_i^{-1}z}(\eta(z^{-1}))_+\right]_1 = 1 \quad (\because [f(z)]_1 = [f(z^{-1})]_1),$$

we have (2.12). $\square$

The Macdonald operator $H_N(q^{-1}, t^{-1})$ can be also represented by a boson operator as follows. We set $\xi(z) : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathbf{C}[[z, z^{-1}]]$ as

$$\xi(z) :=: \exp\left(\sum_{n \neq 0}(1 - t^n)\gamma^{|n|}a_n\frac{z^{-n}}{n}\right): \quad (\gamma := (qt^{-1})^{-1/2}). \tag{2.14}$$

Proposition 2.7. *The Macdonald operator* $H_N(q^{-1}, t^{-1})$ *is represented by the operator* $\xi(z)$ *as follows :*

$$[\xi(z)]_1 = t^N\{(t^{-1} - 1)H_N(q^{-1}, t^{-1}) + 1\}. \tag{2.15}$$

Let us give another way of the free field realization of the Macdonald operator [17]. Define an operator $\phi(z) : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathbf{C}[[z, z^{-1}]]$ as follows :

$$\phi(z) := \exp\left(\sum_{n>0}\frac{1 - t^n}{1 - q^n}a_{-n}\frac{z^n}{n}\right). \tag{2.16}$$

Then we can check the relations.

$$\eta(z)\phi(w) = \frac{1 - w/z}{1 - tw/z} : \eta(z)\phi(w) :, \quad : \eta(tz)\phi(z) : |0\rangle = \phi(qz)|0\rangle,$$

$$\xi(z)\phi(w) = \frac{1 - t\gamma w/z}{1 - \gamma w/z} : \xi(z)\phi(w) :, \quad : \xi(\gamma z)\phi(z) : |0\rangle = \phi(q^{-1}z)|0\rangle.$$

They are shown in the following way. By Wick's theorem we have

$$\eta(z)\phi(w) = \exp\left(-\sum_{m>0}(1 - t^m)\frac{1 - t^m}{1 - q^m} \cdot m\frac{1 - q^m}{1 - t^m}\frac{(w/z)^m}{m \cdot m}\right) : \eta(z)\phi(w) :$$

$$= \exp\left(-\sum_{m>0}(1 - t^m)\frac{(w/z)^m}{m}\right) : \eta(z)\phi(w) :$$

$$= \frac{1 - w/z}{1 - tw/z} : \eta(z)\phi(w) :,$$

$$\xi(z)\phi(w) = \exp\left(\sum_{m>0}(1 - t^m)\gamma^m\frac{(w/z)^m}{m}\right) : \xi(z)\phi(w) :$$

$$= \frac{1 - t\gamma w/z}{1 - \gamma w/z} : \xi(z)\phi(w) :,$$

where we use $\log(1 - x) = -\sum_{n>0}\frac{x^n}{n}$ $(|x| < 1)$. The rest equations follow from simple calculations.

Let us define an operator $V(x_1, \cdots, x_N) = V(x) : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F} \otimes \Lambda_N(q, t)$ as follows :

$$V(x) := \exp\left(\sum_{n>0}\frac{1 - t^n}{1 - q^n}a_n\frac{p_n(x)}{n}\right) \quad \left(p_n(x) = \sum_{i=1}^N x_i^n\right). \tag{2.17}$$

We can check that the map $\langle 0|V(x) : \mathcal{F} \to \Lambda_N(q, t)$ $(|v\rangle \mapsto \langle 0|V(x)|v\rangle)$ gives an isomorphism $\mathcal{F} \simeq \Lambda_N(q, t)$. We also have $\langle 0|V(x)\prod_{j=1}^N \phi(y_j)|0\rangle = \Pi(q, t)(x, y)$. In this way we can identify the vector $\prod_{j=1}^N \phi(y_j)|0\rangle$ as the kernel function $\Pi(q, t)(x, y)$.

Proposition 2.8. *Constant terms of $\eta(z)$, $\xi(z)$ act on $\prod_{j=1}^{N} \phi(x_j)|0\rangle$ as follows :*

$$[\eta(z)]_1 \prod_{j=1}^{N} \phi(x_j)|0\rangle = t^{-N}\{(t-1)H_N(q,t)+1\}\prod_{j=1}^{N} \phi(x_j)|0\rangle, \qquad (2.18)$$

$$[\xi(z)]_1 \prod_{j=1}^{N} \phi(x_j)|0\rangle = t^{N}\{(t^{-1}-1)H_N(q^{-1},t^{-1})+1\}\prod_{j=1}^{N} \phi(x_j)|0\rangle. \qquad (2.19)$$

Proof. Here we show (2.18). From the relation of $\eta(z)$ and $\phi(z)$, we have

$$\eta(z)\prod_{j=1}^{N} \phi(x_j) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1-x_i/z}{1-tx_i/z} : \eta(z)\prod_{j=1}^{N} \phi(x_j) : .$$

By the formula of the partial fraction expansion, we have the following :

$$\prod_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1-x_i/z}{1-tx_i/z} = \frac{1-t}{1-t^N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1-t^{-N}tx_i/z}{1-tx_i/z} \prod_{j\neq i} \frac{tx_i-x_j}{x_i-x_j}.$$

Furthermore, we use the formal expression of the delta function $\delta(x)$:

$$\delta(x) = \sum_{n\in\mathbf{Z}} x^n = \frac{1}{1-x} + \frac{x^{-1}}{1-x^{-1}}.$$

Then we have

$$\prod_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1-x_i/z}{1-tx_i/z} = \frac{1-t}{1-t^N} \sum_{i=1}^{N}(1-t^{-N}tx_i/z)\left\{\delta\left(t\frac{x_i}{z}\right) - \frac{t^{-1}x_i^{-1}z}{1-t^{-1}x_i^{-1}z}\right\}\prod_{j\neq i} \frac{tx_i-x_j}{x_i-x_j}$$

$$= t^{-N}(t-1)\sum_{i=1}^{N}\prod_{j\neq i} \frac{tx_i-x_j}{x_i-x_j}\delta\left(t\frac{x_i}{z}\right) + t^{-N}\prod_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1-z/x_i}{1-t^{-1}z/x_i}.$$

By this equation, the following holds :

$$[\eta(z)]_1 \prod_{j=1}^{N} \phi(x_j)|0\rangle$$

$$= \left\{t^{-N}(t-1)\sum_{i=1}^{N}\prod_{j\neq i} \frac{tx_i-x_j}{x_i-x_j}(\eta(tx_i))_- + t^{-N}\left[\prod_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1-z/x_i}{1-t^{-1}z/x_i}(\eta(z))_-\right]_1\right\}\prod_{j=1}^{N} \phi(x_j)|0\rangle$$

$$= t^{-N}(t-1)\sum_{i=1}^{N}\prod_{j\neq i} \frac{tx_i-x_j}{x_i-x_j}T_{q,x_i}\prod_{j=1}^{N} \phi(x_j)|0\rangle + t^{-N}\prod_{j=1}^{N} \phi(x_j)|0\rangle \quad (\because (\eta(tz))_-\phi(z) = \phi(qz))$$

$$= t^{-N}\{(t-1)H_N(q,t)+1\}\prod_{j=1}^{N} \phi(x_j)|0\rangle,$$

where we use the equation

$$\left[\prod_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1-z/x_i}{1-t^{-1}z/x_i}(\eta(z))_-\right]_1 = 1.$$

The proof of (2.19) is similar to the above, thus we omit it.   □

Remark 2.9. Let us recall that the kernel function $\Pi(q,t)(x,y)$ determines the form of the relation (2.8). Therefore we can understand that the free field realization of the Macdonald operator is based on the form of the kernel function $\Pi(q,t)(x,y)$.

2.3 Ding-Iohara algebra $\mathcal{U}(q,t)$

As is seen in the previous subsection, we can represent the Macdonald operator by using $\eta(z)$, $\xi(z)$. By Wick's theorem, we can show the following.

Proposition 2.10 (Relations of $\eta(z)$, $\xi(z)$ and $\varphi^{\pm}(z)$). *Set $\gamma = (qt^{-1})^{-1/2}$ and let us define operators $\varphi^{\pm}(z) : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathbf{C}[[z,z^{-1}]]$ as*

$$\varphi^{+}(z) :=\, :\eta(\gamma^{1/2}z)\xi(\gamma^{-1/2}z):, \quad \varphi^{-}(z) :=\, :\eta(\gamma^{-1/2}z)\xi(\gamma^{1/2}z):\, . \tag{2.20}$$

We set the structure function $g(x)$ as

$$g(x) := \frac{(1-qx)(1-t^{-1}x)(1-q^{-1}tx)}{(1-q^{-1}x)(1-tx)(1-qt^{-1}x)}. \tag{2.21}$$

Then operators $\eta(z)$, $\xi(z)$ and $\varphi^{\pm}(z)$ enjoy the following relations.

$$[\varphi^{\pm}(z), \varphi^{\pm}(w)] = 0, \quad \varphi^{+}(z)\varphi^{-}(w) = \frac{g(\gamma z/w)}{g(\gamma^{-1}z/w)}\varphi^{-}(w)\varphi^{+}(z),$$

$$\varphi^{\pm}(z)\eta(w) = g\left(\gamma^{\pm\frac{1}{2}}\frac{z}{w}\right)\eta(w)\varphi^{\pm}(z), \quad \varphi^{\pm}(z)\xi(w) = g\left(\gamma^{\mp\frac{1}{2}}\frac{z}{w}\right)^{-1}\xi(w)\varphi^{\pm}(z),$$

$$\eta(z)\eta(w) = g\left(\frac{z}{w}\right)\eta(w)\eta(z), \quad \xi(z)\xi(w) = g\left(\frac{z}{w}\right)^{-1}\xi(w)\xi(z),$$

$$[\eta(z), \xi(w)] = \frac{(1-q)(1-t^{-1})}{1-qt^{-1}}\left\{\delta\left(\gamma\frac{w}{z}\right)\varphi^{+}(\gamma^{1/2}w) - \delta\left(\gamma^{-1}\frac{w}{z}\right)\varphi^{-}(\gamma^{-1/2}w)\right\}. \tag{2.22}$$

Remark 2.11. (1) In section 3, we will prove an elliptic version of the proposition 2.10. Therefore we omit the proof of the proposition 2.10.

(2) As $[\varphi^{\pm}(z)]_1 = 1$, this leads that $[[\eta(z)]_1, [\xi(w)]_1] = 0$. The equation corresponds to the commutativity of the Macdonald operators : $[H_N(q,t), H_N(q^{-1},t^{-1})] = 0$.

It is important that these relations (2.22) are similar to the relations of the Drinfeld realization of $U_q(\widehat{sl_2})$ [6][7]. By this fact, we can understand (2.22) as a kind of quantum group structure. By this way, we can define the Ding-Iohara algebra $\mathcal{U}(q,t)$ as follows [17].

Definition 2.12 (Ding-Iohara algebra $\mathcal{U}(q,t)$). Let $g(x)$ be the same function defined by (2.21). Let γ be the central, invertible element and set currents $x^{\pm}(z) := \sum_{n\in\mathbf{Z}} x_n^{\pm}z^{-n}$, $\psi^{\pm}(z) := \sum_{n\in\mathbf{Z}} \psi_n^{\pm}z^{-n}$ satisfying the relations :

$$[\psi^{\pm}(z), \psi^{\pm}(w)] = 0, \quad \psi^{+}(z)\psi^{-}(w) = \frac{g(\gamma z/w)}{g(\gamma^{-1}z/w)}\psi^{-}(w)\psi^{+}(z),$$

$$\psi^{\pm}(z)x^{+}(w) = g\left(\gamma^{\pm\frac{1}{2}}\frac{z}{w}\right)x^{+}(w)\psi^{\pm}(z), \quad \psi^{\pm}(z)x^{-}(w) = g\left(\gamma^{\mp\frac{1}{2}}\frac{z}{w}\right)^{-1}x^{-}(w)\psi^{\pm}(z),$$

$$x^{\pm}(z)x^{\pm}(w) = g\left(\frac{z}{w}\right)^{\pm 1}x^{\pm}(w)x^{\pm}(z),$$

$$[x^{+}(z), x^{-}(w)] = \frac{(1-q)(1-t^{-1})}{1-qt^{-1}}\left\{\delta\left(\gamma\frac{w}{z}\right)\psi^{+}(\gamma^{1/2}w) - \delta\left(\gamma^{-1}\frac{w}{z}\right)\psi^{-}(\gamma^{-1/2}w)\right\}. \tag{2.23}$$

Then we define the Ding-Iohara algebra $\mathcal{U}(q,t)$ to be the associative **C**-algebra generated by $\{x_n^{\pm}\}_{n\in\mathbf{Z}}$, $\{\psi_n^{\pm}\}_{n\in\mathbf{Z}}$ and γ with the above relations.

Due to the proposition 2.10, the map

$$\gamma \mapsto (qt^{-1})^{-1/2}, \quad x^+(z) \mapsto \eta(z), \quad x^-(z) \mapsto \xi(z), \quad \psi^{\pm}(z) \mapsto \varphi^{\pm}(z)$$

gives a representation of the Ding-Iohara algebra (the free field realization).

Remark 2.13. It is known that $\mathcal{U}(q,t)$ has the coproduct $\Delta : \mathcal{U}(q,t) \to \mathcal{U}(q,t) \otimes \mathcal{U}(q,t)$ defined as follows [17] :

$$\Delta(\gamma^{\pm 1}) = \gamma^{\pm 1} \otimes \gamma^{\pm 1}, \quad \Delta(\psi^{\pm}(z)) = \psi^{\pm}(\gamma_{(2)}^{\pm 1/2}z) \otimes \psi^{\pm}(\gamma_{(1)}^{\mp 1/2}z),$$

$$\Delta(x^+(z)) = x^+(z) \otimes 1 + \psi^-(\gamma_{(1)}^{1/2}z) \otimes x^+(\gamma_{(1)}z),$$

$$\Delta(x^-(z)) = x^-(\gamma_{(2)}z) \otimes \psi^+(\gamma_{(2)}^{1/2}z) + 1 \otimes x^-(z). \tag{2.24}$$

Here we define $\gamma_{(1)} := \gamma \otimes 1$, $\gamma_{(2)} := 1 \otimes \gamma$.

3   Elliptic Ding-Iohara algebra

In this section, we are going to show that : 1) From the elliptic kernel function we can construct elliptic currents, 2) From relations among the elliptic currents satisfy, an elliptic analog of the Ding-Iohara algebra arises.

In the following, we use parameters $q,t,p \in \mathbf{C}$ which satisfy $|q| < 1$, $|p| < 1$.

3.1   Kernel function introduced by Komori, Noumi and Shiraishi

The elliptic kernel function introduced by Komori, Noumi and Shiraishi [18] is defined as

$$\Pi(q,t,p)(x,y) := \prod_{i,j} \frac{\Gamma_{q,p}(x_i y_j)}{\Gamma_{q,p}(tx_i y_j)}. \tag{3.1}$$

As $\Gamma_{q,p}(x) \xrightarrow[p\to 0]{} (x;q)_\infty^{-1}$, the elliptic kernel function degenerates to $\Pi(q,t)(x,y)$ in the limit $p \to 0$:

$$\Pi(q,t,p)(x,y) \xrightarrow[p\to 0]{} \Pi(q,t)(x,y) = \prod_{i,j} \frac{(tx_i y_j;q)_\infty}{(x_i y_j;q)_\infty}.$$

Remark 3.1. In the paper [18], it is shown that the elliptic kernel function $\Pi(q,t,p)(x,y)$ and the elliptic Macdonald operator $H_N(q,t,p)$ in (1.3) satisfy the following relation :

$$H_N(q,t,p)_x\Pi(q,t,p)(x_1,\cdots,x_N,y_1,\cdots,y_N) = H_N(q,t,p)_y\Pi(q,t,p)(x_1,\cdots,x_N,y_1,\cdots,y_N).$$

We can check the expression of $\Gamma_{q,p}(x)$:

$$\Gamma_{q,p}(x) = \exp\left(-\sum_{n>0} \frac{(qp)^n}{(1-q^n)(1-p^n)}\frac{x^{-n}}{n}\right)\exp\left(\sum_{n>0} \frac{1}{(1-q^n)(1-p^n)}\frac{x^n}{n}\right).$$

Then we can rewrite $\Pi(q,t,p)(x,y)$ by using power sums as

$$\Pi(q,t,p)(x,y) = \exp\left(\sum_{n>0} \frac{(1-t^n)(qt^{-1}p)^n}{(1-q^n)(1-p^n)} \frac{p_n(\overline{x})p_n(\overline{y})}{n}\right)$$

$$\exp\left(\sum_{n>0} \frac{1-t^n}{(1-q^n)(1-p^n)} \frac{p_n(x)p_n(y)}{n}\right). \quad (3.2)$$

Here $p_n(\overline{x}) := \sum_{i=1}^N x_i^{-n} \ (n \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0})$ denotes the negative power sum, and for a partition λ, set $p_\lambda(\overline{x}) := p_{\lambda_1}(\overline{x}) \cdots p_{\lambda_{\ell(\lambda)}}(\overline{x})$. We also define

$$z_\lambda(q,t,p) := z_\lambda \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\lambda)} (1-p^{\lambda_i})\frac{1-q^{\lambda_i}}{1-t^{\lambda_i}}, \quad \overline{z}_\lambda(q,t,p) := z_\lambda \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\lambda)} \frac{1-p^{\lambda_i}}{(qt^{-1}p)^{\lambda_i}}\frac{1-q^{\lambda_i}}{1-t^{\lambda_i}}. \quad (3.3)$$

Then we can expand $\Pi(q,t,p)(x,y)$ as the following form :

$$\Pi(q,t,p)(x,y) = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}} \frac{1}{\overline{z}_\lambda(q,t,p)} p_\lambda(\overline{x})p_\lambda(\overline{y}) \sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{P}} \frac{1}{z_\mu(q,t,p)} p_\mu(x)p_\mu(y). \quad (3.4)$$

3.2 Operator $\phi(p;z)$ and elliptic currents $\eta(p;z)$, $\xi(p;z)$ and $\varphi^\pm(p;z)$

Here in this subsection we are going to define the elliptic currents and study their properties. Keeping the expression of $\Pi(q,t,p)(x,y)$ as (3.4) in mind, we introduce an algebra $\mathcal{B}_{a,b}$ of bosons generated by $\{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbf{Z}\setminus\{0\}}$, $\{b_n\}_{n\in\mathbf{Z}\setminus\{0\}}$ and the following relations :

$$[a_m, a_n] = m(1-p^{|m|})\frac{1-q^{|m|}}{1-t^{|m|}}\delta_{m+n,0}, \quad [b_m, b_n] = m\frac{1-p^{|m|}}{(qt^{-1}p)^{|m|}}\frac{1-q^{|m|}}{1-t^{|m|}}\delta_{m+n,0},$$

$$[a_m, b_n] = 0. \quad (3.5)$$

As in the trigonometric case, let $|0\rangle$ be the vacuum vector which satisfies the conditions $a_n|0\rangle = b_n|0\rangle = 0 \ (n>0)$ and set the boson Fock space $\mathcal{F}$ as a left $\mathcal{B}_{a,b}$ module :

$$\mathcal{F} := \mathrm{span}\{a_{-\lambda}b_{-\mu}|0\rangle : \lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{P}\}. \quad (3.6)$$

The dual vacuum vector $\langle 0|$ is defined by the conditions $\langle 0|a_n = \langle 0|b_n = 0 \ (n<0)$ and we set the dual boson Fock space $\mathcal{F}^*$ as a right $\mathcal{B}_{a,b}$ module :

$$\mathcal{F}^* := \mathrm{span}\{\langle 0|a_\lambda b_\mu : \lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{P}\}. \quad (3.7)$$

We define a bilinear form $\langle \bullet | \bullet \rangle : \mathcal{F}^* \times \mathcal{F} \to \mathbf{C}$ by the following conditions.

$$(1)\ \langle 0|0\rangle = 1, \quad (2)\ \langle 0|a_{\lambda_1}b_{\lambda_2}a_{-\mu_1}b_{-\mu_2}|0\rangle = \delta_{\lambda_1\mu_1}\delta_{\lambda_2\mu_2}z_{\lambda_1}(q,t,p)\overline{z}_{\lambda_2}(q,t,p).$$

We also define the normal ordering $:\bullet:$ as usual :

$$:a_m a_n: := \begin{cases} a_m a_n & (m<n), \\ a_n a_m & (m\geq n), \end{cases} \quad :b_m b_n: := \begin{cases} b_m b_n & (m<n), \\ b_n b_m & (m\geq n). \end{cases}$$

Remark 3.2. The above defined algebra of bosons leads to consider the space of symmetric functions $\Lambda_N(q, t, p) := \mathrm{span}\{p_\lambda(x)p_\mu(\overline{x}) : \lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{P}\}$. But it is not clear whether an elliptic analog of the Macdonald symmetric functions live in $\Lambda_N(q, t, p)$.

Let us define operators $\phi(p; z) : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathbf{C}[[z, z^{-1}]]$ and $V_p(x) : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F} \otimes \Lambda_N(q, t, p)$ as follows :

$$\phi(p; z) := \exp\left(\sum_{n>0} \frac{(1-t^n)(qt^{-1}p)^n}{(1-q^n)(1-p^n)} b_{-n} \frac{z^{-n}}{n}\right) \exp\left(\sum_{n>0} \frac{1-t^n}{(1-q^n)(1-p^n)} a_{-n} \frac{z^n}{n}\right), \quad (3.8)$$

$$V_p(x) := \exp\left(\sum_{n>0} \frac{(1-t^n)(qt^{-1}p)^n}{(1-q^n)(1-p^n)} b_n \frac{p_n(\overline{x})}{n}\right) \exp\left(\sum_{n>0} \frac{1-t^n}{(1-q^n)(1-p^n)} a_n \frac{p_n(x)}{n}\right). \tag{3.9}$$

Then we have

$$\langle 0|V_p(x) \prod_{j=1}^{N} \phi(p; y_j)|0\rangle = \Pi(q, t, p)(x_1, \cdots, x_N, y_1, \cdots, y_N). \tag{3.10}$$

We can check this. First we have

$$V_p(x)\phi(p; y)$$
$$= \exp\left(\sum_{m>0} \frac{(1-t^m)(qt^{-1}p)^m}{(1-q^m)(1-p^m)} \frac{(1-t^m)(qt^{-1}p)^m}{(1-q^m)(1-p^m)} \cdot m \frac{1-p^m}{(qt^{-1}p)^m} \frac{1-q^m}{1-t^m} \frac{p_m(\overline{x})y^{-m}}{m}\right)$$
$$\times \exp\left(\sum_{m>0} \frac{1-t^m}{(1-q^m)(1-p^m)} \frac{1-t^m}{(1-q^m)(1-p^m)} \cdot m(1-p^m) \frac{1-q^m}{1-t^m} \frac{p_m(x)y^m}{m}\right)$$
$$\times \; : V_p(x)\phi(p; y) :$$
$$= \exp\left(\sum_{m>0} \frac{(1-t^m)(qt^{-1}p)^m}{(1-q^m)(1-p^m)} \frac{p_m(\overline{x})y^{-m}}{m}\right) \exp\left(\sum_{m>0} \frac{1-t^m}{(1-q^m)(1-p^m)} \frac{p_m(x)y^m}{m}\right)$$
$$\times \; : V_p(x)\phi(p; y) : .$$

By this equation and the expression of the kernel function (3.2), we have (3.10). We can also check the map $\langle 0|V_p(x) : \mathcal{F} \to \Lambda_N(q, t, p)$ ($|v\rangle \mapsto \langle 0|V_p(x)|v\rangle$) gives an isomorphism $\mathcal{F} \simeq \Lambda_N(q, t, p)$.

As in the trigonometric case, we can represent the boson algebra $\mathcal{B}_{a,b}$ by power sums as follows.

$$a_n := n(1-p^n)\frac{1-q^n}{1-t^n}\frac{\partial}{\partial p_n(x)}, \quad a_{-n} := p_n(x) \quad (n > 0), \tag{3.11}$$

$$b_n := n\frac{1-p^n}{(qt^{-1}p)^n}\frac{1-q^n}{1-t^n}\frac{\partial}{\partial p_n(\overline{x})}, \quad b_{-n} := p_n(\overline{x}) \quad (n > 0). \tag{3.12}$$

In this representation of $\mathcal{B}_{a,b}$, let us construct an operator $\eta(p; z) : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathbf{C}[[z, z^{-1}]]$ which satisfies the conditions :

$$1) \; (\eta(p; z))_+ \mid_{z=x_i^{-1}} = T_{q,x_i}, \quad 2) \; : \eta(p; tz)\phi(p; z) : |0\rangle = \phi(p; qz)|0\rangle. \tag{3.13}$$

These conditions are satisfied by the following operator, which we would like to call the elliptic current.

Proposition 3.3 (Elliptic current $\eta(p; z)$). *Let $\eta(p; z) : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathbf{C}[[z, z^{-1}]]$ be an operator defined as follows :*

$$\eta(p; z) :=: \exp\left(-\sum_{n\neq 0} \frac{1-t^{-n}}{1-p^{|n|}} p^{|n|} b_n \frac{z^n}{n}\right) \exp\left(-\sum_{n\neq 0} \frac{1-t^n}{1-p^{|n|}} a_n \frac{z^{-n}}{n}\right) : . \qquad (3.14)$$

Then we have

i) $\eta(p; z)$ satisfies the conditions 1) and 2) in (3.13).

ii) $\eta(p; z)\eta(p; w) = \dfrac{\Theta_p(w/z)\Theta_p(qt^{-1}w/z)}{\Theta_p(qw/z)\Theta_p(t^{-1}w/z)} : \eta(p; z)\eta(p; w) : \qquad \left(\begin{cases} |p| < |qw/z| < 1, \\ |p| < |t^{-1}w/z| < 1 \end{cases}\right).$

$$\qquad (3.15)$$

Proof. i) In the representation (3.11), (3.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned}(\eta(p; z))_+ &= \exp\left(-\sum_{n>0} \frac{1-t^{-n}}{1-p^n} p^n n \frac{1-p^n}{(qt^{-1}p)^n} \frac{1-q^n}{1-t^n} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_n(\overline{x})} \frac{z^n}{n}\right) \\ &\qquad \times \exp\left(-\sum_{n>0} \frac{1-t^n}{1-p^n} n(1-p^n) \frac{1-q^n}{1-t^n} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_n(x)} \frac{z^{-n}}{n}\right) \\ &= \exp\left(\sum_{n>0}(q^{-n}-1)z^n \frac{\partial}{\partial p_n(\overline{x})}\right) \exp\left(\sum_{n>0}(q^n-1)z^{-n} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_n(x)}\right).\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$T_{q,x_i} p_n(x) = (q^n - 1)x_i^n + p_n(x), \quad T_{q,x_i} p_n(\overline{x}) = (q^{-n}-1)x_i^{-n} + p_n(\overline{x}).$$

Since the space of symmetric functions $\Lambda_N(q, t, p)$ is generated by power sums $p_n(x)$, $p_n(\overline{x})$, the following is satisfied on $\Lambda_N(q, t, p)$:

$$T_{q,x_i} = \exp\left(\sum_{n>0}(q^{-n}-1)x_i^{-n} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_n(\overline{x})}\right) \exp\left(\sum_{n>0}(q^n-1)x_i^n \frac{\partial}{\partial p_n(x)}\right),$$

where we use $e^{a\frac{d}{dx}} f(x) = f(x + a)$. Hence we have $(\eta(p; z))_+|_{z=x_i^{-1}} = T_{q,x_i}$.

Next we show 2) in (3.13) is satisfied. Then we have

$$: \eta(p; tz)\phi(p; z) : |0\rangle = (\eta(p; tz))_- \phi(p; z)|0\rangle.$$

Hence what we have to show is $(\eta(p; tz))_- \phi(p; z) = \phi(p; qz)$. The proof of the relation is straightforward. Since the operator $(\eta(p; z))_-$ takes the form

$$(\eta(p; z))_- = \exp\left(\sum_{n>0} \frac{1-t^n}{1-p^n} p^n b_{-n} \frac{z^{-n}}{n}\right) \exp\left(\sum_{n>0} \frac{1-t^{-n}}{1-p^n} a_{-n} \frac{z^n}{n}\right),$$

we have

$$(\eta(p;tz))_-\phi(p;z)$$

$$= \exp\left(\sum_{n>0}\frac{(qt^{-1}p)^n(1-t^n)}{(1-q^n)(1-p^n)}\{t^{-n}(1-q^n)(qt^{-1})^{-n}+1\}b_{-n}\frac{z^{-n}}{n}\right)$$

$$\times \exp\left(\sum_{n>0}\frac{1-t^n}{(1-q^n)(1-p^n)}\{-t^{-n}(1-q^n)t^n+1\}a_{-n}\frac{z^n}{n}\right)$$

$$= \exp\left(\sum_{n>0}\frac{(qt^{-1}p)^n(1-t^n)}{(1-q^n)(1-p^n)}q^{-n}b_{-n}\frac{z^{-n}}{n}\right)\exp\left(\sum_{n>0}\frac{1-t^n}{(1-q^n)(1-p^n)}q^na_{-n}\frac{z^n}{n}\right)$$

$$= \phi(p;qz).$$

ii) By Wick's theorem, we have the following :

$$\eta(p;z)\eta(p;w)$$

$$= \exp\left(\sum_{m>0}\frac{1-t^{-m}}{1-p^m}p^m\frac{1-t^m}{1-p^m}p^m\cdot m\frac{1-p^m}{(qt^{-1}p)^m}\frac{1-q^m}{1-t^m}\frac{(z/w)^m}{m(-m)}\right)$$

$$\times \exp\left(\sum_{m>0}\frac{1-t^m}{1-p^m}\frac{1-t^{-m}}{1-p^m}\cdot m(1-p^m)\frac{1-q^m}{1-t^m}\frac{(w/z)^m}{m(-m)}\right): \eta(p;z)\eta(p;w):$$

$$= \exp\left(-\sum_{m>0}\frac{(1-q^m)(1-t^{-m})(qt^{-1})^{-m}}{1-p^m}p^m\frac{(z/w)^m}{m}\right)$$

$$\times \exp\left(-\sum_{m>0}\frac{(1-q^m)(1-t^{-m})}{1-p^m}\frac{(w/z)^m}{m}\right): \eta(p;z)\eta(p;w):$$

$$= \frac{(q^{-1}tpz/w;p)_\infty(pz/w;p)_\infty}{(tpz/w;p)_\infty(q^{-1}pz/w;p)_\infty}\frac{(w/z;p)_\infty(qt^{-1}w/z;p)_\infty}{(qw/z;p)_\infty(t^{-1}w/z;p)_\infty}: \eta(p;z)\eta(p;w):$$

$$= \frac{\Theta_p(w/z)\Theta_p(qt^{-1}w/z)}{\Theta_p(qw/z)\Theta_p(t^{-1}w/z)}: \eta(p;z)\eta(p;w):. \quad\square$$

Since the relation (3.15) is an elliptic analog of the trigonometric case (2.10), we can understand $\eta(p;z)$ is an elliptic analog of $\eta(z)$. In the similar way, we can define an operator $\xi(p;z): \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathbf{C}[[z,z^{-1}]]$ which is an elliptic analog of $\xi(z)$.

Proposition 3.4 (Elliptic current $\xi(p;z)$). *Let $\xi(p;z): \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathbf{C}[[z,z^{-1}]]$ be an operator defined as follows :*

$$\xi(p;z) :=: \exp\left(\sum_{n\neq 0}\frac{1-t^{-n}}{1-p^{|n|}}\gamma^{-|n|}p^{|n|}b_n\frac{z^n}{n}\right)\exp\left(\sum_{n\neq 0}\frac{1-t^n}{1-p^{|n|}}\gamma^{|n|}a_n\frac{z^{-n}}{n}\right): . \qquad (3.16)$$

Then $\xi(p;z)$ satisfies

i) $: \xi(p;\gamma z)\phi(p;z) : |0\rangle = \phi(p;q^{-1}z)|0\rangle$, *or equivalently,* $(\xi(p;\gamma z))_-\phi(p;z) = \phi(p;q^{-1}z)$.

$$(3.17)$$

ii) $\xi(p;z)\xi(p;w) = \dfrac{\Theta_p(w/z)\Theta_p(q^{-1}tw/z)}{\Theta_p(q^{-1}w/z)\Theta_p(tw/z)}: \xi(p;z)\xi(p;w): \quad \left(\begin{cases}|p| < |q^{-1}w/z| < 1, \\ |p| < |tw/z| < 1\end{cases}\right).$

$$(3.18)$$

Proof. Since the proof of (3.17) is quite similar to the proof of i) in the proposition 3.1, we omit it. (3.18) is shown as follows :

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\xi(p;z)\xi(p;w)\\
&= \exp\left(\sum_{m>0}\frac{1-t^{-m}}{1-p^m}\gamma^{-m}p^m\frac{1-t^m}{1-p^m}\gamma^{-m}p^m\cdot m\frac{1-p^m}{(qt^{-1}p)^m}\frac{1-q^m}{1-t^m}\frac{(z/w)^m}{m(-m)}\right)\\
&\quad\times\exp\left(\sum_{m>0}\frac{1-t^m}{1-p^m}\gamma^m\frac{1-t^{-m}}{1-p^m}\gamma^m\cdot m(1-p^m)\frac{1-q^m}{1-t^m}\frac{(w/z)^m}{m(-m)}\right):\xi(p;z)\xi(p;w):\\
&= \exp\left(-\sum_{m>0}\frac{(1-q^m)(1-t^{-m})}{1-p^m}p^m\frac{(z/w)^m}{m}\right)\\
&\qquad\qquad\times\exp\left(-\sum_{m>0}\frac{(1-q^m)(1-t^{-m})(qt^{-1})^{-m}}{1-p^m}\frac{(w/z)^m}{m}\right):\xi(p;z)\xi(p;w):\\
&= \frac{\Theta_p(w/z)\Theta_p(q^{-1}tw/z)}{\Theta_p(q^{-1}w/z)\Theta_p(tw/z)}:\xi(p;z)\xi(p;w):.\quad\square
\end{aligned}
$$

As in the trigonometric case, it is natural to calculate a commutation relation between $\eta(p;z)$ and $\xi(p;z)$. For the calculation of $[\eta(p;z),\xi(p;w)]$, we need a lemma which gives a relation between the theta function $\Theta_p(x)$ and the delta function $\delta(x)$.

Lemma 3.5. *For the theta function $\Theta_p(x)$ and the delta function $\delta(x)$, the following relations are satisfied :*

$$
\frac{1}{\Theta_p(x)}+\frac{x^{-1}}{\Theta_p(x^{-1})}=\frac{1}{(p;p)_\infty^3}\delta(x),\tag{3.19}
$$

$$
\frac{1}{\Theta_p(x)}+\frac{x^{-1}}{\Theta_p(px)}=\frac{1}{(p;p)_\infty^3}\delta(x).\tag{3.20}
$$

This leads that

$$
\frac{1}{\Theta_p(px)}=\frac{1}{\Theta_p(x^{-1})}.\tag{3.21}
$$

Proof. To prove the relation (3.19), let us recall the formal expression of the delta function as

$$
\delta(x)=\sum_{n\in\mathbf{Z}}x^n=\frac{1}{1-x}+\frac{x^{-1}}{1-x^{-1}}.
$$

By this expression, we have the following :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\Theta_p(x)}&=\frac{1}{(p;p)_\infty(x;p)_\infty(px^{-1};p)_\infty}\\
&=\frac{1}{(p;p)_\infty}\frac{1}{(1-x)(px;p)_\infty(px^{-1};p)_\infty}\\
&=\frac{1}{(p;p)_\infty}\left(\delta(x)-\frac{x^{-1}}{1-x^{-1}}\right)\frac{1}{(px;p)_\infty(px^{-1};p)_\infty}\\
&=\frac{1}{(p;p)_\infty^3}\delta(x)-\frac{x^{-1}}{\Theta_p(x^{-1})}.
\end{aligned}
$$

The relation (3.20) is shown in the similar way :

$$\frac{1}{\Theta_p(px)} = \frac{1}{(p;p)_\infty(px;p)_\infty(x^{-1};p)_\infty}$$

$$= \frac{1}{(p;p)_\infty(px;p)_\infty}\frac{1}{(1-x^{-1})(px^{-1};p)_\infty}$$

$$= \frac{1}{(p;p)_\infty(px;p)_\infty}\Big(\delta(x) - \frac{x}{1-x}\Big)\frac{1}{(px^{-1};p)_\infty}$$

$$= \frac{1}{(p;p)_\infty^3}\delta(x) - \frac{x}{\Theta_p(x)}.$$

By the subtraction (3.19) $-$ (3.20), we have $1/\Theta_p(px) = 1/\Theta_p(x^{-1})$. $\square$

Remark 3.6. The relation (3.19), (3.20) should be also recognized in the context of the Sato hyperfunction [5].

From this lemma, we can calculate $[\eta(p;z),\xi(p;w)]$ as follows.

Proposition 3.7 (Commutator $[\eta(p;z),\xi(p;w)]$). *Let $\varphi^\pm(p;z) : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathbf{C}[[z,z^{-1}]]$ be operators defined as*

$$\varphi^+(p;z) :=:\eta(p;\gamma^{1/2}z)\xi(p;\gamma^{-1/2}z): ,\quad \varphi^-(p;z) :=:\eta(p;\gamma^{-1/2}z)\xi(p;\gamma^{1/2}z): . \quad (3.22)$$

Then the relation holds :

$$[\eta(p;z),\xi(p;w)] = \frac{\Theta_p(q)\Theta_p(t^{-1})}{(p;p)_\infty^3\Theta_p(qt^{-1})}\Big\{\delta\Big(\gamma\frac{w}{z}\Big)\varphi^+(p;\gamma^{1/2}w) - \delta\Big(\gamma^{-1}\frac{w}{z}\Big)\varphi^-(p;\gamma^{-1/2}w)\Big\}. \quad (3.23)$$

Proof. By Wick's theorem, we have the following :

$$\eta(p;z)\xi(p;w)$$

$$= \exp\Big(-\sum_{m>0}\frac{1-t^{-m}}{1-p^m}p^m\frac{1-t^m}{1-p^m}\gamma^{-m}p^m \cdot m\frac{1-p^m}{(qt^{-1}p)^m}\frac{1-q^m}{1-t^m}\frac{(z/w)^m}{m(-m)}\Big)$$

$$\times \exp\Big(-\sum_{m>0}\frac{1-t^m}{1-p^m}\frac{1-t^{-m}}{1-p^m}\gamma^m \cdot m(1-p^m)\frac{1-q^m}{1-t^m}\frac{(w/z)^m}{m(-m)}\Big) :\eta(p;z)\xi(p;w):$$

$$= \exp\Big(\sum_{m>0}\frac{(1-q^m)(1-t^{-m})}{1-p^m}\gamma^m p^m\frac{(z/w)^m}{m}\Big)$$

$$\times \exp\Big(\sum_{m>0}\frac{(1-q^m)(1-t^{-m})}{1-p^m}\gamma^m\frac{(w/z)^m}{m}\Big) :\eta(p;z)\xi(p;w):$$

$$= \frac{\Theta_p(q\gamma w/z)\Theta_p(q^{-1}\gamma^{-1}w/z)}{\Theta_p(\gamma w/z)\Theta_p(\gamma^{-1}w/z)} :\eta(p;z)\xi(p;w): ,$$

$$\xi(p;w)\eta(p;z)$$

$$= \exp\left(-\sum_{m>0}\frac{1-t^{-m}}{1-p^m}\gamma^{-m}p^m\frac{1-t^m}{1-p^m}p^m \cdot m\frac{1-p^m}{(qt^{-1}p)^m}\frac{1-q^m}{1-t^m}\frac{(w/z)^m}{m(-m)}\right)$$

$$\times \exp\left(-\sum_{m>0}\frac{1-t^m}{1-p^m}\gamma^m\frac{1-t^{-m}}{1-p^m}\cdot m(1-p^m)\frac{1-q^m}{1-t^m}\frac{(z/w)^m}{m(-m)}\right) : \eta(p;z)\xi(p;w) :$$

$$= \exp\left(\sum_{m>0}\frac{(1-q^m)(1-t^{-m})}{1-p^m}\gamma^m p^m\frac{(w/z)^m}{m}\right)$$

$$\times \exp\left(\sum_{m>0}\frac{(1-q^m)(1-t^{-m})}{1-p^m}\gamma^m\frac{(z/w)^m}{m}\right) : \eta(p;z)\xi(p;w) :$$

$$= \frac{\Theta_p(q\gamma z/w)\Theta_p(q^{-1}\gamma^{-1}z/w)}{\Theta_p(\gamma z/w)\Theta_p(\gamma^{-1}z/w)} : \eta(p;z)\xi(p;w) : .$$

Then we have

$$[\eta(p;z),\xi(p;w)]$$

$$= \left\{\frac{\Theta_p(q\gamma w/z)\Theta_p(q^{-1}\gamma^{-1}w/z)}{\Theta_p(\gamma w/z)\Theta_p(\gamma^{-1}w/z)} - \frac{\Theta_p(q\gamma z/w)\Theta_p(q^{-1}\gamma^{-1}z/w)}{\Theta_p(\gamma z/w)\Theta_p(\gamma^{-1}z/w)}\right\} : \eta(p;z)\xi(p;w) :$$

$$= \Theta_p(q\gamma w/z)\Theta_p(q^{-1}\gamma^{-1}w/z)\left\{\frac{1}{\Theta_p(\gamma w/z)\Theta_p(\gamma^{-1}w/z)} - \frac{(z/w)^2}{\Theta_p(\gamma z/w)\Theta_p(\gamma^{-1}z/w)}\right\}$$

$$\times : \eta(p;z)\xi(p;w) : .$$

From the lemma 3.3, the following holds :

$$\frac{1}{\Theta_p(\gamma x)\Theta_p(\gamma^{-1}x)} - \frac{x^{-2}}{\Theta_p(\gamma x^{-1})\Theta_p(\gamma^{-1}x^{-1})}$$

$$= \left\{\frac{1}{\Theta_p(\gamma x)} + \frac{\gamma^{-1}x^{-1}}{\Theta_p(\gamma^{-1}x^{-1})}\right\}\frac{1}{\Theta_p(\gamma^{-1}x)} - \frac{\gamma^{-1}x^{-1}}{\Theta_p(\gamma^{-1}x^{-1})}\left\{\frac{1}{\Theta_p(\gamma^{-1}x)} + \frac{\gamma x^{-1}}{\Theta_p(\gamma x^{-1})}\right\}$$

$$= \frac{1}{(p;p)_\infty^3}\delta(\gamma x)\frac{1}{\Theta_p(\gamma^{-1}x)} - \frac{\gamma^{-1}x^{-1}}{\Theta_p(\gamma^{-1}x^{-1})}\frac{1}{(p;p)_\infty^3}\delta(\gamma^{-1}x)$$

$$= \frac{1}{(p;p)_\infty^3\Theta_p(qt^{-1})}\{\delta(\gamma x) - \gamma^{-2}\delta(\gamma^{-1}x)\}.$$

This leads that

$$\frac{\Theta_p(q\gamma x)\Theta_p(q^{-1}\gamma^{-1}x)}{\Theta_p(\gamma x)\Theta_p(\gamma^{-1}x)} - \frac{\Theta_p(q\gamma x^{-1})\Theta_p(q^{-1}\gamma^{-1}x^{-1})}{\Theta_p(\gamma x^{-1})\Theta_p(\gamma^{-1}x^{-1})} = \frac{\Theta_p(q)\Theta_p(t^{-1})}{(p;p)_\infty^3\Theta_p(qt^{-1})}\{\delta(\gamma x) - \delta(\gamma^{-1}x)\}.$$

By this relation and the definition of $\varphi^{\pm}(p;z)$, we have (3.23). $\square$

The commutation relation (3.23) is also an elliptic analog of the trigonometric case in (2.22). Furthermore, we can show the following theorem by Wick's theorem.

Theorem 3.8 (Relations of $\eta(p;z)$, $\xi(p;z)$ and $\varphi^{\pm}(p;z)$). *We define the structure function $g_p(x)$ as*

$$g_p(x) := \frac{\Theta_p(qx)\Theta_p(t^{-1}x)\Theta_p(q^{-1}tx)}{\Theta_p(q^{-1}x)\Theta_p(tx)\Theta_p(qt^{-1}x)}. \tag{3.24}$$

Then $\eta(p; z)$, $\xi(p; z)$ and $\varphi^{\pm}(p; z)$ satisfy the relations :

$$[\varphi^{\pm}(p; z), \varphi^{\pm}(p; w)] = 0, \quad \varphi^{+}(p; z)\varphi^{-}(p; w) = \frac{g_p(\gamma z/w)}{g_p(\gamma^{-1}z/w)}\varphi^{-}(p; w)\varphi^{+}(p; z), \quad (3.25)$$

$$\varphi^{\pm}(p; z)\eta(p; w) = g_p\left(\gamma^{\pm\frac{1}{2}}\frac{z}{w}\right)\eta(p; w)\varphi^{\pm}(p; z), \quad (3.26)$$

$$\varphi^{\pm}(p; z)\xi(p; w) = g_p\left(\gamma^{\mp\frac{1}{2}}\frac{z}{w}\right)^{-1}\xi(p; w)\varphi^{\pm}(p; z), \quad (3.27)$$

$$\eta(p; z)\eta(p; w) = g_p\left(\frac{z}{w}\right)\eta(p; w)\eta(p; z), \quad (3.28)$$

$$\xi(p; z)\xi(p; w) = g_p\left(\frac{z}{w}\right)^{-1}\xi(p; w)\xi(p; z), \quad (3.29)$$

$$[\eta(p; z), \xi(p; w)] = \frac{\Theta_p(q)\Theta_p(t^{-1})}{(p; p)_{\infty}^3\Theta_p(qt^{-1})}\left\{\delta\left(\gamma\frac{w}{z}\right)\varphi^{+}(p; \gamma^{1/2}w) - \delta\left(\gamma^{-1}\frac{w}{z}\right)\varphi^{-}(p; \gamma^{-1/2}w)\right\}. \quad (3.30)$$

Proof. Relations (3.28) and (3.29) follow from (3.15), (3.18). By the definition of $\varphi^{\pm}(p; z)$, they take forms as follows :

$$\varphi^{+}(p; z)$$
$$= \exp\left(-\sum_{n>0}\frac{1-t^{-n}}{1-p^n}p^n(1-\gamma^{-2n})\gamma^{n/2}b_n\frac{z^n}{n}\right)\exp\left(-\sum_{n>0}\frac{1-t^n}{1-p^n}(1-\gamma^{2n})\gamma^{-n/2}a_n\frac{z^{-n}}{n}\right), \quad (3.31)$$

$$\varphi^{-}(p; z)$$
$$= \exp\left(-\sum_{n<0}\frac{1-t^{-n}}{1-p^{-n}}p^{-n}(1-\gamma^{2n})\gamma^{-n/2}b_n\frac{z^n}{n}\right)\exp\left(-\sum_{n<0}\frac{1-t^n}{1-p^{-n}}(1-\gamma^{-2n})\gamma^{n/2}a_n\frac{z^{-n}}{n}\right). \quad (3.32)$$

By these expressions, the relation $[\varphi^{\pm}(p; z), \varphi^{\pm}(p; w)] = 0$ is trivial. Next we show the relation (3.25). Here we can check that $g_p(x)$ takes the form as follows :

$$g_p(x)$$
$$= \exp\left(-\sum_{n>0}\frac{(1-q^n)(1-t^{-n})(1-\gamma^{2n})}{1-p^n}p^n\frac{x^{-n}}{n}\right)\exp\left(\sum_{n>0}\frac{(1-q^n)(1-t^{-n})(1-\gamma^{2n})}{1-p^n}\frac{x^n}{n}\right). \quad (3.33)$$

We can also check $g_p(x^{-1}) = g_p(x)^{-1}$. From these facts, we have the following :

$$\varphi^+(p; z)\varphi^-(p; w)$$

$$= \exp\left(\sum_{m>0} \frac{1 - t^{-m}}{1 - p^m}p^m(1 - \gamma^{-2m})\gamma^{m/2}\frac{1 - t^m}{1 - p^m}p^m(1 - \gamma^{-2m})\gamma^{m/2}m\frac{1 - p^m}{(qt^{-1}p)^m}\frac{1 - q^m}{1 - t^m}\frac{(z/w)^m}{m(-m)}\right)$$

$$\times \exp\left(\sum_{m>0} \frac{1 - t^m}{1 - p^m}(1 - \gamma^{2m})\gamma^{-m/2}\frac{1 - t^{-m}}{1 - p^m}(1 - \gamma^{2m})\gamma^{-m/2}m(1 - p^m)\frac{1 - q^m}{1 - t^m}\frac{(w/z)^m}{m(-m)}\right)$$

$$\times \varphi^-(p; w)\varphi^+(p; z)$$

$$= \exp\left(-\sum_{m>0} \frac{(1 - q^m)(1 - t^{-m})(1 - \gamma^{2m})}{1 - p^m}p^m(\gamma^{-m} - \gamma^m)\frac{(z/w)^m}{m}\right)$$

$$\times \exp\left(\sum_{m>0} \frac{(1 - q^m)(1 - t^{-m})(1 - \gamma^{2m})}{1 - p^m}(\gamma^m - \gamma^{-m})\frac{(w/z)^m}{m}\right)\varphi^-(p; w)\varphi^+(p; z)$$

$$= \frac{g_p(\gamma w/z)}{g_p(\gamma^{-1}w/z)}\varphi^-(p; w)\varphi^+(p; z) = \frac{g_p(\gamma z/w)}{g_p(\gamma^{-1}z/w)}\varphi^-(p; w)\varphi^+(p; z) \quad (\because g_p(x^{-1}) = g_p(x)^{-1}).$$

Next we show the relations (3.26). By Wick's theorem, we have

$$\varphi^+(p; z)\eta(p; z)$$

$$= \exp\left(\sum_{m>0} \frac{1 - t^{-m}}{1 - p^m}p^m(1 - \gamma^{-2m})\gamma^{m/2}\frac{1 - t^m}{1 - p^m}p^m \cdot m\frac{1 - p^m}{(qt^{-1}p)^m}\frac{1 - q^m}{1 - t^m}\frac{(z/w)^m}{m(-m)}\right)$$

$$\times \exp\left(\sum_{m>0} \frac{1 - t^m}{1 - p^m}(1 - \gamma^{2m})\gamma^{-m/2}\frac{1 - t^{-m}}{1 - p^m} \cdot m(1 - p^m)\frac{1 - q^m}{1 - t^m}\frac{(w/z)^m}{m(-m)}\right)\eta(p; w)\varphi^+(p; z)$$

$$= \exp\left(\sum_{m>0} \frac{(1 - q^m)(1 - t^{-m})(1 - \gamma^{2m})}{1 - p^m}p^m\gamma^{m/2}\frac{(z/w)^m}{m}\right)$$

$$\times \exp\left(-\sum_{m>0} \frac{(1 - q^m)(1 - t^{-m})(1 - \gamma^{2m})}{1 - p^m}\gamma^{-m/2}\frac{(w/z)^m}{m}\right)\eta(p; w)\varphi^+(p; z)$$

$$= g_p\left(\gamma^{-1/2}\frac{w}{z}\right)^{-1}\eta(p; w)\varphi^+(p; z) = g_p\left(\gamma^{1/2}\frac{z}{w}\right)\eta(p; w)\varphi^+(p; z).$$

Similarly, we have

$$\eta(p; w)\varphi^-(p; z)$$

$$= \exp\left(\sum_{m>0} \frac{1 - t^{-m}}{1 - p^m}p^m\frac{1 - t^m}{1 - p^m}p^m(1 - \gamma^{-2m})\gamma^{m/2} \cdot m\frac{1 - p^m}{(qt^{-1}p)^m}\frac{1 - q^m}{1 - t^m}\frac{(w/z)^m}{m(-m)}\right)$$

$$\times \exp\left(\sum_{m>0} \frac{1 - t^m}{1 - p^m}\frac{1 - t^{-m}}{1 - p^m}(1 - \gamma^{2m})\gamma^{-m/2} \cdot m(1 - p^m)\frac{1 - q^m}{1 - t^m}\frac{(z/w)^m}{m(-m)}\right)\varphi^-(p; z)\eta(p; w)$$

$$= \exp\left(\sum_{m>0} \frac{(1 - q^m)(1 - t^{-m})(1 - \gamma^{2m})}{1 - p^m}p^m\gamma^{m/2}\frac{(w/z)^m}{m}\right)$$

$$\times \exp\left(-\sum_{m>0} \frac{(1 - q^m)(1 - t^{-m})(1 - \gamma^{2m})}{1 - p^m}\gamma^{-m/2}\frac{(z/w)^m}{m}\right)\varphi^-(p; z)\eta(p; w)$$

$$= g_p\left(\gamma^{-1/2}\frac{z}{w}\right)^{-1}\varphi^-(p; z)\eta(p; w).$$

Consequently we have $\varphi^{\pm}(p;z)\eta(p;w) = g_p\left(\gamma^{\pm\frac{1}{2}}\dfrac{z}{w}\right)\eta(p;w)\varphi^{\pm}(p;z)$.

Finally we show (3.27). Similar to the above calculations, we have the following :

$$\varphi^{+}(p;z)\xi(p;z)$$

$$= \exp\left(-\sum_{m>0}\frac{1-t^{-m}}{1-p^m}p^m(1-\gamma^{-2m})\gamma^{m/2}\frac{1-t^m}{1-p^m}\gamma^{-m}p^m\cdot m\frac{1-p^m}{(qt^{-1}p)^m}\frac{1-q^m}{1-t^m}\frac{(z/w)^m}{m(-m)}\right)$$

$$\times \exp\left(-\sum_{m>0}\frac{1-t^m}{1-p^m}(1-\gamma^{2m})\gamma^{-m/2}\frac{1-t^{-m}}{1-p^m}\gamma^m\cdot m(1-p^m)\frac{1-q^m}{1-t^m}\frac{(w/z)^m}{m(-m)}\right)$$

$$\times \xi(p;w)\varphi^{+}(p;z)$$

$$= \exp\left(-\sum_{m>0}\frac{(1-q^m)(1-t^{-m})(1-\gamma^{2m})}{1-p^m}p^m\gamma^{-m/2}\frac{(z/w)^m}{m}\right)$$

$$\times \exp\left(\sum_{m>0}\frac{(1-q^m)(1-t^{-m})(1-\gamma^{2m})}{1-p^m}\gamma^{m/2}\frac{(w/z)^m}{m}\right)\xi(p;w)\varphi^{+}(p;z)$$

$$= g_p\left(\gamma^{1/2}\frac{w}{z}\right)\xi(p;w)\varphi^{+}(p;z) = g_p\left(\gamma^{-1/2}\frac{z}{w}\right)^{-1}\xi(p;w)\varphi^{+}(p;z),$$

$$\xi(p;w)\varphi^{-}(p;z)$$

$$= \exp\left(-\sum_{m>0}\frac{1-t^{-m}}{1-p^m}\gamma^{-m}p^m\frac{1-t^m}{1-p^m}p^m(1-\gamma^{-2m})\gamma^{m/2}\cdot m\frac{1-p^m}{(qt^{-1}p)^m}\frac{1-q^m}{1-t^m}\frac{(w/z)^m}{m(-m)}\right)$$

$$\times \exp\left(-\sum_{m>0}\frac{1-t^m}{1-p^m}\gamma^m\frac{1-t^{-m}}{1-p^m}(1-\gamma^{2m})\gamma^{-m/2}\cdot m(1-p^m)\frac{1-q^m}{1-t^m}\frac{(z/w)^m}{m(-m)}\right)$$

$$\times \varphi^{-}(p;z)\xi(p;w)$$

$$= \exp\left(-\sum_{m>0}\frac{(1-q^m)(1-t^{-m})(1-\gamma^{2m})}{1-p^m}p^m\gamma^{-m/2}\frac{(w/z)^m}{m}\right)$$

$$\times \exp\left(\sum_{m>0}\frac{(1-q^m)(1-t^{-m})(1-\gamma^{2m})}{1-p^m}\gamma^{m/2}\frac{(z/w)^m}{m}\right)\varphi^{-}(p;z)\xi(p;w)$$

$$= g_p\left(\gamma^{1/2}\frac{z}{w}\right)\varphi^{-}(p;z)\xi(p;w).$$

Therefore we have $\varphi^{\pm}(p;z)\xi(p;w) = g_p\left(\gamma^{\mp\frac{1}{2}}\dfrac{z}{w}\right)^{-1}\xi(p;w)\varphi^{\pm}(p;z)$, here the proof of the theorem is complete. $\square$

3.3   Elliptic Ding-Iohara algebra $\mathcal{U}(q,t,p)$

After the theorem 3.8, we can define the elliptic Ding-Iohara algebra.

Definition 3.9 (Elliptic Ding-Iohara algebra $\mathcal{U}(q,t,p)$). Let $g_p(x)$ be the structure function defined by (3.24) :

$$g_p(x) = \frac{\Theta_p(qx)\Theta_p(t^{-1}x)\Theta_p(q^{-1}tx)}{\Theta_p(q^{-1}x)\Theta_p(tx)\Theta_p(qt^{-1}x)}.$$

Let γ be the central, invertible element and currents $x^{\pm}(p;z) := \sum_{n\in\mathbf{Z}} x_n^{\pm}(p)z^{-n}$, $\psi^{\pm}(p;z) := \sum_{n\in\mathbf{Z}} \psi_n^{\pm}(p)z^{-n}$ be operators subject to the defining relations listed below.

$$[\psi^{\pm}(p;z), \psi^{\pm}(p;w)] = 0, \quad \psi^{+}(p;z)\psi^{-}(p;w) = \frac{g_p(\gamma z/w)}{g_p(\gamma^{-1}z/w)}\psi^{-}(p;w)\psi^{+}(p;z),$$

$$\psi^{\pm}(p;z)x^{+}(p;w) = g_p\left(\gamma^{\pm\frac{1}{2}}\frac{z}{w}\right)x^{+}(p;w)\psi^{\pm}(p;z),$$

$$\psi^{\pm}(p;z)x^{-}(p;w) = g_p\left(\gamma^{\mp\frac{1}{2}}\frac{z}{w}\right)^{-1}x^{-}(p;w)\psi^{\pm}(p;z),$$

$$x^{\pm}(p;z)x^{\pm}(p;w) = g_p\left(\frac{z}{w}\right)^{\pm 1}x^{\pm}(p;w)x^{\pm}(p;z),$$

$$[x^{+}(p;z), x^{-}(p;w)] = \frac{\Theta_p(q)\Theta_p(t^{-1})}{(p;p)_\infty^3\Theta_p(qt^{-1})}\left\{\delta\left(\gamma\frac{w}{z}\right)\psi^{+}(p;\gamma^{1/2}w) - \delta\left(\gamma^{-1}\frac{w}{z}\right)\psi^{-}(p;\gamma^{-1/2}w)\right\}.$$

$$\tag{3.34}$$

Then we define the elliptic Ding-Iohara algebra $\mathcal{U}(q,t,p)$ to be the associative **C**-algebra generated by $\{x_n^{\pm}(p)\}_{n\in\mathbf{Z}}$, $\{\psi_n^{\pm}(p)\}_{n\in\mathbf{Z}}$ and γ.

Similar to the trigonometric case, the map defined by

$$\gamma \mapsto (qt^{-1})^{-1/2}, \quad x^{+}(p;z) \mapsto \eta(p;z), \quad x^{-}(p;z) \mapsto \xi(p;z), \quad \psi^{\pm}(p;z) \mapsto \varphi^{\pm}(p;z)$$

gives a representation, or the free field realization, of the elliptic Ding-Iohara algebra $\mathcal{U}(q,t,p)$ (Theorem 1.2 in section 1).

Remark 3.10. (1) By the definition, the trigonometric limit $p \to 0$ of the elliptic Ding-Iohara algebra $\mathcal{U}(q,t,p)$ degenerates to the Ding-Iohara algebra $\mathcal{U}(q,t)$.

(2) Since the relations (3.34) take the same forms of the trigonometric case (2.23), we can define the coproduct $\Delta : \mathcal{U}(q,t,p) \to \mathcal{U}(q,t,p)\otimes\mathcal{U}(q,t,p)$ similar to the trigonometric case :

$$\Delta(\gamma^{\pm 1}) = \gamma^{\pm 1} \otimes \gamma^{\pm 1}, \quad \Delta(\psi^{\pm}(p;z)) = \psi^{\pm}(p;\gamma_{(2)}^{\pm 1/2}z) \otimes \psi^{\pm}(p;\gamma_{(1)}^{\mp 1/2}z),$$

$$\Delta(x^{+}(p;z)) = x^{+}(p;z) \otimes 1 + \psi^{-}(p;\gamma_{(1)}^{1/2}z) \otimes x^{+}(p;\gamma_{(1)}z),$$

$$\Delta(x^{-}(p;z)) = x^{-}(p;\gamma_{(2)}z) \otimes \psi^{+}(p;\gamma_{(2)}^{1/2}z) + 1 \otimes x^{-}(p;z). \tag{3.35}$$

(3) In [17], another elliptic Ding-Iohara algebra is defined based on the idea of the quasi-Hopf deformation. Then the same structure function defined by (3.24) arises.

4 Free field realization of the elliptic Macdonald operator

In this section, we study the relations between the elliptic current $\eta(p;z)$ and the elliptic Macdonald operator $H_N(q,t,p)$. Similar to the trigonometric case, we consider 1) a direct rewriting of $\eta(p;z)$, 2) the action of $\eta(p;z)$ on the kernel function.

4.1   A direct rewriting of $\eta(p; z)$

The elliptic Macdonald operator $H_N(q, t, p)$ $(N \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0})$ is defined as follows.

$$H_N(q, t, p) := \sum_{i=1}^{N} \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{\Theta_p(tx_i/x_j)}{\Theta_p(x_i/x_j)} T_{q,x_i}.$$

First, we need a lemma to calculate the constant term of a product of the theta functions.

Lemma 4.1. *(1) We have a partial fraction expansion formula of the product of the theta functions as follows.*

$$\prod_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\Theta_p(t^{-1}x_i z)}{\Theta_p(x_i z)} = \frac{\Theta_p(t)}{\Theta_p(t^N)} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\Theta_p(t^{-N}x_i z)}{\Theta_p(x_i z)} \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{\Theta_p(tx_i/x_j)}{\Theta_p(x_i/x_j)}. \tag{4.1}$$

(2) From Ramanujan's summation formula :

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} \frac{(a; p)_n}{(b; p)_n} z^n = \frac{(az; p)_\infty (p/az; p)_\infty (b/a; p)_\infty (p; p)_\infty}{(z; p)_\infty (b/az; p)_\infty (p/a; p)_\infty (b; p)_\infty} \quad (|a^{-1}b| < |z| < 1), \tag{4.2}$$

we have an expansion of $\Theta_p(az)/\Theta_p(z)$ as follows :

$$\frac{\Theta_p(az)}{\Theta_p(z)} = \frac{\Theta_p(a)}{(p; p)_\infty^3} \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} \frac{z^n}{1 - ap^n} \quad (|p| < |z| < 1). \tag{4.3}$$

Proof. (1) In the partial fraction expansion (6.19) in Appendix B as

$$\prod_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\Theta_p(t_i x_i^{-1} z)}{\Theta_p(x_i^{-1} z)} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\Theta_p(t_i)}{\Theta_p(t_{(N)})} \frac{\Theta_p(t_{(N)} x_i^{-1} z)}{\Theta_p(x_i^{-1} z)} \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{\Theta_p(t_j x_i/x_j)}{\Theta_p(x_i/x_j)},$$

by setting $t_j = t^{-1}$ and substitution $x_j \to x_j^{-1}$, we obtain (4.1).

(2) In Ramanujan's summation formula (4.2) (the proof of this formula is in Appendix B), by setting $b/a = p$ we have

$$\text{(Left hand side of (4.2))} = \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} \frac{(a; p)_n}{(ap; p)_n} z^n = (1 - a) \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} \frac{z^n}{1 - ap^n},$$

$$\text{(Right hand side of (4.2))} = \frac{(az; p)_\infty (p(az)^{-1}; p)_\infty (p; p)_\infty^2}{(z; p)_\infty (pz; p)_\infty (pa^{-1}; p)_\infty (pa; p)_\infty} = \frac{(1 - a)(p; p)_\infty^3}{\Theta_p(a)} \frac{\Theta_p(az)}{\Theta_p(z)}. \quad \square$$

Remark 4.2. Using the equation (6.19) and the relation between the theta function and the delta function, we have the following :

$$\prod_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\Theta_p(t_i x_i^{-1} z)}{\Theta_p(x_i^{-1} z)} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\Theta_p(t_i)}{(p; p)_\infty^3} \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{\Theta_p(t_j x_i/x_j)}{\Theta_p(x_i/x_j)} \delta(x_i^{-1} z) + t_{(N)} \prod_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\Theta_p(t_i^{-1} x_i/z)}{\Theta_p(x_i/z)}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\Theta_p(t_i)}{(p; p)_\infty^3} \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{\Theta_p(t_j x_i/x_j)}{\Theta_p(x_i/x_j)} \delta(x_i^{-1} z) + t_{(N)} \prod_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\Theta_p(pt_i x_i^{-1} z)}{\Theta_p(px_i^{-1} z)},$$

where we use the relation $1/\Theta_p(px) = 1/\Theta_p(x^{-1})$. Taking the constant term of the above relation in z, we have the identity as follows.

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \Theta_p(t_i) \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{\Theta_p(t_j x_i/x_j)}{\Theta_p(x_i/x_j)} = (1 - t_{(N)})(p; p)_\infty^3 \left[\prod_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\Theta_p(t_i x_i^{-1} z)}{\Theta_p(x_i^{-1} z)} \right]_1. \tag{4.4}$$

Using this lemma, we can show the following theorem.

Theorem 4.3 (Free field realization of the elliptic Macdonald operator (1)).
With the identification of bosons (3.11), (3.12), *the elliptic current* $\eta(p; z)$ *and the elliptic Macdonald operator* $H_N(q, t, p)$ *enjoy the relation :*

$$[\eta(p; z) - t^{-N}(\eta(p; pz))_- (\eta(p; z))_+]_1 = \frac{t^{-N+1} \Theta_p(t^{-1})}{(p; p)_\infty^3} H_N(q, t, p). \tag{4.5}$$

Proof. Setting $a_n = p_n(x)$, $b_n(x) = p_n(\overline{x})$ $(n > 0)$ and from the lemma 4.1 (1), we have

$$(\eta(p; z))_- = \prod_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\Theta_p(t^{-1} x_i z)}{\Theta_p(x_i z)} = \frac{\Theta_p(t)}{\Theta_p(t^N)} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\Theta_p(t^{-N} x_i z)}{\Theta_p(x_i z)} \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{\Theta_p(t x_i/x_j)}{\Theta_p(x_i/x_j)}. \tag{4.6}$$

Using the relation of the theta function and the delta function as

$$\frac{1}{\Theta_p(x_i z)} + \frac{x_i^{-1} z^{-1}}{\Theta_p(x_i^{-1} z^{-1})} = \frac{1}{(p; p)_\infty^3} \delta(x_i z),$$

then we have

$$(\eta(p; z))_- = \frac{t^{-N+1} \Theta_p(t^{-1})}{(p; p)_\infty^3} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{\Theta_p(t x_i/x_j)}{\Theta_p(x_i/x_j)} \delta(x_i z)$$

$$- \frac{\Theta_p(t)}{\Theta_p(t^N)} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{\Theta_p(t x_i/x_j)}{\Theta_p(x_i/x_j)} \frac{x_i^{-1} z^{-1} \Theta_p(t^{-N} x_i z)}{\Theta_p(x_i^{-1} z^{-1})}$$

$$= \frac{t^{-N+1} \Theta_p(t^{-1})}{(p; p)_\infty^3} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{\Theta_p(t x_i/x_j)}{\Theta_p(x_i/x_j)} \delta(x_i z)$$

$$+ t^{-N} \frac{\Theta_p(t)}{\Theta_p(t^N)} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{\Theta_p(t x_i/x_j)}{\Theta_p(x_i/x_j)} \frac{\Theta_p(t^N x_i^{-1} z^{-1})}{\Theta_p(x_i^{-1} z^{-1})}.$$

By using the lemma of the partial fraction expansion again, we have

$$(\eta(p; z))_- = \frac{t^{-N+1} \Theta_p(t^{-1})}{(p; p)_\infty^3} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{\Theta_p(t x_i/x_j)}{\Theta_p(x_i/x_j)} \delta(x_i z) + t^{-N} \prod_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\Theta_p(t x_i^{-1} z^{-1})}{\Theta_p(x_i^{-1} z^{-1})}.$$

By this relation, $\eta(p;z)$ takes the form as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\eta(p;z) &= (\eta(p;z))_-(\eta(p;z))_+ \\
&= \frac{t^{-N+1}\Theta_p(t^{-1})}{(p;p)_\infty^3}\sum_{i=1}^N\prod_{j\neq i}\frac{\Theta_p(tx_i/x_j)}{\Theta_p(x_i/x_j)}\delta(x_iz)(\eta(p;z))_+ + t^{-N}\prod_{i=1}^N\frac{\Theta_p(tx_i^{-1}z^{-1})}{\Theta_p(x_i^{-1}z^{-1})}(\eta(p;z))_+ \\
&= \frac{t^{-N+1}\Theta_p(t^{-1})}{(p;p)_\infty^3}\sum_{i=1}^N\prod_{j\neq i}\frac{\Theta_p(tx_i/x_j)}{\Theta_p(x_i/x_j)}\delta(x_iz)T_{q,x_i} + t^{-N}\prod_{i=1}^N\frac{\Theta_p(tx_i^{-1}z^{-1})}{\Theta_p(x_i^{-1}z^{-1})}(\eta(p;z))_+,
\end{aligned}
$$

$$(4.7)$$

where we use the relation $(\eta(p;z))_+ \mid_{z=x_i^{-1}} = T_{q,x_i}$. By using the relation in (3.21) as $1/\Theta_p(px) = 1/\Theta_p(x^{-1})$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\eta(p;z) &= \frac{t^{-N+1}\Theta_p(t^{-1})}{(p;p)_\infty^3}\sum_{i=1}^N\prod_{j\neq i}\frac{\Theta_p(tx_i/x_j)}{\Theta_p(x_i/x_j)}\delta(x_iz)T_{q,x_i} + t^{-N}\prod_{j=1}^N\frac{\Theta_p(pt^{-1}x_iz)}{\Theta_p(px_iz)}(\eta(p;z))_+ \\
&= \frac{t^{-N+1}\Theta_p(t^{-1})}{(p;p)_\infty^3}\sum_{i=1}^N\prod_{j\neq i}\frac{\Theta_p(tx_i/x_j)}{\Theta_p(x_i/x_j)}\delta(x_iz)T_{q,x_i} + t^{-N}(\eta(p;pz))_-(\eta(p;z))_+. \quad (4.8)
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally we have the following :

$$[\eta(p;z) - t^{-N}(\eta(p;pz))_-(\eta(p;z))_+]_1 = \frac{t^{-N+1}\Theta_p(t^{-1})}{(p;p)_\infty^3}H_N(q,t,p). \quad \square$$

4.2   Action of $\eta(p;z)$ on the kernel function

With the isomorphism $\langle 0|V_p(x):\mathcal{F}\simeq\Lambda_N(q,t,p)$ $(|v\rangle\mapsto\langle 0|V_p(x)|v\rangle)$, we can identify the vector $\prod_{j=1}^N\phi(p;y_j)|0\rangle$ as the elliptic kernel function $\Pi(q,t,p)(x,y)$. Hence we can use this identification to study relations between $\eta(p;z)$ and the elliptic Macdonald operator $H_N(q,t,p)$. In fact, calculation of the action of $\eta(p;z)$ on $\prod_{j=1}^N\phi(p;y_j)|0\rangle$ gives us the following theorem.

Theorem 4.4 (Free field realization of the elliptic Macdonald operator (2)). *The elliptic current $\eta(p;z)$ reproduces the elliptic Macdonald operator $H_N(q,t,p)$ as follows :*

$$[\eta(p;z) - t^{-N}(\eta(p;z))_-(\eta(p;p^{-1}z))_+]_1\prod_{j=1}^N\phi(p;x_j)|0\rangle$$

$$= \frac{t^{-N+1}\Theta_p(t^{-1})}{(p;p)_\infty^3}H_N(q,t,p)\prod_{j=1}^N\phi(p;x_j)|0\rangle. \quad (4.9)$$

Proof. First, we show the relation

$$\eta(p;z)\phi(p;w) = \frac{\Theta_p(w/z)}{\Theta_p(tw/z)}:\eta(p;z)\phi(p;w):. \quad (4.10)$$

This is shown by Wick's theorem :

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\eta(p;z)\phi(p;w)\\
&= \exp\left(-\sum_{m>0}\frac{1-t^{-m}}{1-p^m}p^m\frac{(1-t^m)(qt^{-1}p)^m}{(1-q^m)(1-p^m)}\cdot m\frac{1-p^m}{(qt^{-1}p)^m}\frac{1-q^m}{1-t^m}\frac{(z/w)^m}{m\cdot m}\right)\\
&\times \exp\left(-\sum_{m>0}\frac{1-t^m}{1-p^m}\frac{1-t^m}{(1-q^m)(1-p^m)}\cdot m(1-p^m)\frac{1-q^m}{1-t^m}\frac{(w/z)^m}{m\cdot m}\right):\eta(p;z)\phi(p;w):\\
&= \exp\left(-\sum_{m>0}\frac{1-t^{-m}}{1-p^m}p^m\frac{(z/w)^m}{m}\right)\exp\left(-\sum_{m>0}\frac{1-t^m}{1-p^m}\frac{(w/z)^m}{m}\right):\eta(p;z)\phi(p;w):\\
&= \frac{(pz/w;p)_\infty}{(t^{-1}pz/w;p)_\infty}\frac{(w/z;p)_\infty}{(tw/z;p)_\infty}:\eta(p;z)\phi(p;w):\\
&= \frac{\Theta_p(w/z)}{\Theta_p(tw/z)}:\eta(p;z)\phi(p;w):\,.
\end{aligned}
$$

By this relation, we have

$$
\eta(p;z)\prod_{j=1}^N\phi(p;x_j)=\prod_{i=1}^N\frac{\Theta_p(x_i/z)}{\Theta_p(tx_i/z)}:\eta(p;z)\prod_{j=1}^N\phi(p;x_j):\,. \tag{4.11}
$$

Using (3.19) and (4.1), we can check the following relation

$$
\prod_{i=1}^N\frac{\Theta_p(x_i/z)}{\Theta_p(tx_i/z)}=\frac{t^{-N+1}\Theta_p(t^{-1})}{(p;p)_\infty^3}\sum_{i=1}^N\prod_{j\neq i}\frac{\Theta_p(tx_i/x_j)}{\Theta_p(x_i/x_j)}\delta\left(t\frac{x_i}{z}\right)+t^{-N}\prod_{i=1}^N\frac{\Theta_p(z/x_i)}{\Theta_p(t^{-1}z/x_i)}.
$$

By these relations we have the following :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\eta(p;z)\prod_{j=1}^N\phi(p;x_j)|0\rangle&=\prod_{i=1}^N\frac{\Theta_p(x_i/z)}{\Theta_p(tx_i/z)}(\eta(p;z))_-\prod_{j=1}^N\phi(p;x_j)|0\rangle\\
&=\frac{t^{-N+1}\Theta_p(t^{-1})}{(p;p)_\infty^3}\sum_{i=1}^N\prod_{j\neq i}\frac{\Theta_p(tx_i/x_j)}{\Theta_p(x_i/x_j)}\delta\left(t\frac{x_i}{z}\right)(\eta(p;tx_i))_-\prod_{j=1}^N\phi(p;x_j)|0\rangle\\
&\qquad+t^{-N}\prod_{i=1}^N\frac{\Theta_p(z/x_i)}{\Theta_p(t^{-1}z/x_i)}(\eta(p;z))_-\prod_{j=1}^N\phi(p;x_j)|0\rangle\\
&=\frac{t^{-N+1}\Theta_p(t^{-1})}{(p;p)_\infty^3}\sum_{i=1}^N\prod_{j\neq i}\frac{\Theta_p(tx_i/x_j)}{\Theta_p(x_i/x_j)}\delta\left(t\frac{x_i}{z}\right)T_{q,x_i}\prod_{j=1}^N\phi(p;x_j)|0\rangle\\
&\qquad+t^{-N}\prod_{i=1}^N\frac{\Theta_p(z/x_i)}{\Theta_p(t^{-1}z/x_i)}(\eta(p;z))_-\prod_{j=1}^N\phi(p;x_j)|0\rangle, \tag{4.12}
\end{aligned}
$$

where we use the relation $(\eta(p;tz))_-\phi(p;z)=\phi(p;qz)=T_{q,z}\phi(p;z)$. Let us recall the relation $1/\Theta_p(px)=1/\Theta_p(x^{-1})$. This leads that

$$
\prod_{i=1}^N\frac{\Theta_p(z/x_i)}{\Theta_p(t^{-1}z/x_i)}=\prod_{i=1}^N\frac{\Theta_p(px_i/z)}{\Theta_p(ptx_i/z)}.
$$

Hence we have the following.

$$\prod_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\Theta_p(z/x_i)}{\Theta_p(t^{-1}z/x_i)} (\eta(p;z))_- \prod_{j=1}^{N} \phi(p;x_j)|0\rangle = (\eta(p;z))_-(\eta(p;p^{-1}z))_+ \prod_{j=1}^{N} \phi(p;x_j)|0\rangle. \quad (4.13)$$

Finally we have

$$[\eta(p;z) - t^{-N}(\eta(p;z))_-(\eta(p;p^{-1}z))_+]_1 \prod_{j=1}^{N} \phi(p;x_j)|0\rangle$$

$$= \frac{t^{-N+1}\Theta_p(t^{-1})}{(p;p)_\infty^3} H_N(q,t,p) \prod_{j=1}^{N} \phi(p;x_j)|0\rangle. \quad \square$$

Remark 4.5. Let us define $C_N(p;x,y) := \langle 0|V_p(x)[(\eta(p;z))_-(\eta(p;p^{-1}z))_+]_1 \prod_{j=1}^{N} \phi(p;y_j)|0\rangle$. By Wick's theorem, we have

$$C_N(p;x,y) = \left[\prod_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\Theta_p(t^{-1}x_iz)\Theta_p(z/y_i)}{\Theta_p(x_iz)\Theta_p(t^{-1}z/y_i)} \right]_1. \quad (4.14)$$

By the relation (4.3) which is obtained from Ramanujan's summation formula, the explicit form of $C_N(p;x,y)$ takes the following :

$$C_N(p;x,y) = \left(\frac{t^{-N+1}\Theta_p(t^{-1})}{(p;p)_\infty^3} \right)^2 \sum_{\substack{1 \le i \le N \\ 1 \le k \le N}} \prod_{j \ne i} \frac{\Theta_p(tx_i/x_j)}{\Theta_p(x_i/x_j)} \prod_{\ell \ne k} \frac{\Theta_p(ty_k/y_\ell)}{\Theta_p(y_k/y_\ell)} \sum_{m \in \mathbf{Z}} \frac{(tpx_iy_k)^m}{(1-t^{-N}p^m)^2}. \quad (4.15)$$

In the trigonometric limit, $C_N(p;x,y)$ degenerates to 1 : $C_N(p;x,y) \xrightarrow[p \to 0]{} 1$.

4.3 The case of using $\xi(p;z)$

Instead of using $\eta(p;z)$, we can carry out similar calculations by using $\xi(p;z)$. Then we have the following theorem.

Theorem 4.6 (Free field realization of the elliptic Macdonald operator by $\xi(p;z)$). *(1) With the identification of bosons* (3.11), (3.12), *the elliptic current $\xi(p;z)$ and the elliptic Macdonald operator $H_N(q^{-1},t^{-1},p)$ enjoy the relation :*

$$[\xi(p;z) - t^N(\xi(p;pz))_-(\xi(p;z))_+]_1 = \frac{t^{N-1}\Theta_p(t)}{(p;p)_\infty^3} H_N(q^{-1},t^{-1},p). \quad (4.16)$$

(2) The elliptic current $\xi(p;z)$ reproduces the elliptic Macdonald operator $H_N(q^{-1},t^{-1},p)$ as follows :

$$[\xi(p;z) - t^N(\xi(p;z))_-(\xi(p;p^{-1}z))_+]_1 \prod_{j=1}^{N} \phi(p;x_j)|0\rangle$$

$$= \frac{t^{N-1}\Theta_p(t)}{(p;p)_\infty^3} H_N(q^{-1},t^{-1},p) \prod_{j=1}^{N} \phi(p;x_j)|0\rangle. \quad (4.17)$$

The proof of the theorem 4.6 is similar to the theorem 4.3, 4.4.

4.4   Another forms of the theorem 4.4, 4.6

Let us introduce the zero mode generators a_0, Q satisfying the following :

$$[a_0, Q] = \frac{1}{\beta}, \quad [a_n, a_0] = [b_n, a_0] = 0, \quad [a_n, Q] = [b_n, Q] = 0 \quad (n \in \mathbf{Z} \setminus \{0\}). \qquad (4.18)$$

Here the parameter $\beta \in \mathbf{C}$ is defined by the condition $t = q^\beta$. For a complex number α, we define $|\alpha\rangle := e^{\alpha Q}|0\rangle$. Then we have $\beta a_0 |\alpha\rangle = \alpha|\alpha\rangle$.

By using the zero modes, we can reformulate the free field realization of the elliptic Macdonald operator as follows.

Theorem 4.7. *Set* $\widetilde{\eta}(p; z) := (\eta(p; z))_- (\eta(p; p^{-1}z))_+$, $\widetilde{\xi}(p; z) := (\xi(p; z))_- (\xi(p; p^{-1}z))_+$. *We define operators* $E(p; z)$, $F(p; z)$ *as follows :*

$$E(p; z) := \eta(p; z) - \widetilde{\eta}(p; z)q^{-\beta a_0}, \quad F(p; z) := \xi(p; z) - \widetilde{\xi}(p; z)q^{\beta a_0}. \qquad (4.19)$$

Then the elliptic Macdonald operators $H_N(q, t, p)$, $H_N(q^{-1}, t^{-1}, p)$ *are reproduced from the operators* $E(p; z)$, $F(p; z)$ *as follows :*

$$[E(p; z)]_1 \prod_{j=1}^N \phi(p; x_j)|N\beta\rangle = \frac{t^{-N+1}\Theta_p(t^{-1})}{(p; p)_\infty^3} H_N(q, t, p) \prod_{j=1}^N \phi(p; x_j)|N\beta\rangle, \qquad (4.20)$$

$$[F(p; z)]_1 \prod_{j=1}^N \phi(p; x_j)|N\beta\rangle = \frac{t^{N-1}\Theta_p(t)}{(p; p)_\infty^3} H_N(q^{-1}, t^{-1}, p) \prod_{j=1}^N \phi(p; x_j)|N\beta\rangle. \qquad (4.21)$$

5   Some observations and remarks

To end this paper, we indicate what remains unclear or should be clarified and give some comments on concerned materials.

5.1   The method of elliptic deformation

Looking at the construction of the elliptic currents such as $\eta(p; z)$, $\xi(p; z)$ again, we can define a procedure of the elliptic deformation as follows.

Definition 5.1 (The method of elliptic deformation). Suppose $X(z)$ be an operator of the form

$$X(z) = \exp\left(\sum_{n<0} X_n^- a_n z^{-n}\right) \exp\left(\sum_{n>0} X_n^+ a_n z^{-n}\right),$$

where $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbf{Z} \setminus \{0\}}$ are boson generators which satisfy the relation :

$$[a_m, a_n] = m\frac{1 - q^{|m|}}{1 - t^{|m|}}\delta_{m+n,0}.$$

Then the method of elliptic deformation is a procedure as follows :

(Step 1). Change boson generators into the ones satisfying the relations :

$$[a_m, a_n] = m(1 - p^{|m|})\frac{1 - q^{|m|}}{1 - t^{|m|}}\delta_{m+n,0}, \quad [b_m, b_n] = m\frac{1 - p^{|m|}}{(qt^{-1}p)^{|m|}}\frac{1 - q^{|m|}}{1 - t^{|m|}}\delta_{m+n,0},$$

$$[a_m, b_n] = 0.$$

(Step 2). Set $X(p; z) := X_b(p; z)X_a(p; z)$, where

$$X_b(p; z) := \exp\left(-\sum_{n<0}\frac{p^{|n|}}{1 - p^{|n|}}X_{-n}^- b_n z^n\right)\exp\left(-\sum_{n>0}\frac{p^{|n|}}{1 - p^{|n|}}X_{-n}^+ b_n z^n\right), \tag{5.1}$$

$$X_a(p; z) := \exp\left(\sum_{n<0}\frac{1}{1 - p^{|n|}}X_n^- a_n z^{-n}\right)\exp\left(\sum_{n>0}\frac{1}{1 - p^{|n|}}X_n^+ a_n z^{-n}\right). \tag{5.2}$$

5.2   Commutator of operators $E(p; z)$, $F(p; z)$

In section 3, we showed the proposition 3.7 as

$$[\eta(p; z), \xi(p; w)] = \frac{\Theta_p(q)\Theta_p(t^{-1})}{(p; p)_\infty^3\Theta_p(qt^{-1})}\left\{\delta\left(\gamma\frac{w}{z}\right)\varphi^+(p; \gamma^{1/2}w) - \delta\left(\gamma^{-1}\frac{w}{z}\right)\varphi^-(p; \gamma^{-1/2}w)\right\}.$$

Since $[\varphi^+(p; z)]_1 \neq [\varphi^-(p; z)]_1$, we have $[[\eta(p; z)]_1, [\xi(p; w)]_1] \neq 0$. Compared to this, operators $E(p; z)$, $F(p; z)$ defined in (4.19) satisfy the following.

Proposition 5.2. *(1) For operators $E(p; z)$, $F(p; z)$ we have*

$$E(p; z)E(p; w) = g_p\left(\frac{z}{w}\right)E(p; w)E(p; z), \tag{5.3}$$

$$F(p; z)F(p; w) = g_p\left(\frac{z}{w}\right)^{-1}F(p; w)F(p; z). \tag{5.4}$$

(2) The commutator of operators $E(p; z)$, $F(p; z)$ takes the form as

$$[E(p; z), F(p; w)] = \frac{\Theta_p(q)\Theta_p(t^{-1})}{(p; p)_\infty^3\Theta_p(qt^{-1})}\delta\left(\gamma\frac{w}{z}\right)\{\varphi^+(p; \gamma^{1/2}w) - \varphi^+(p; \gamma^{1/2}p^{-1}w)\}. \tag{5.5}$$

Proof. (1) Here we are going to show (5.3). First we can check the following :

$$\eta(p; z)\widetilde{\eta}(p; w) = \frac{\Theta_p(w/z)\Theta_p(qt^{-1}w/z)}{\Theta_p(qw/z)\Theta_p(t^{-1}w/z)} : \eta(p; z)\widetilde{\eta}(p; w) :, \tag{5.6}$$

$$\widetilde{\eta}(p; z)\eta(p; w) = \frac{\Theta_p(w/p^{-1}z)\Theta_p(qt^{-1}w/p^{-1}z)}{\Theta_p(qw/p^{-1}z)\Theta_p(t^{-1}w/p^{-1}z)} : \widetilde{\eta}(p; z)\widetilde{\eta}(p; w) :$$

$$= \frac{\Theta_p(z/w)\Theta_p(q^{-1}tz/w)}{\Theta_p(q^{-1}z/w)\Theta_p(tz/w)} : \widetilde{\eta}(p; z)\eta(p; w) :, \tag{5.7}$$

$$\widetilde{\eta}(p; z)\widetilde{\eta}(p; w) = \frac{\Theta_p(z/w)\Theta_p(q^{-1}tz/w)}{\Theta_p(q^{-1}z/w)\Theta_p(tz/w)} : \widetilde{\eta}(p; z)\widetilde{\eta}(p; w) : . \tag{5.8}$$

From them we have

$$\eta(p; z)\widetilde{\eta}(p; w) = g_p\left(\frac{z}{w}\right)\widetilde{\eta}(p; w)\eta(p; z), \tag{5.9}$$

$$\widetilde{\eta}(p; z)\eta(p; w) = g_p\left(\frac{z}{w}\right)\eta(p; w)\widetilde{\eta}(p; z), \tag{5.10}$$

$$\widetilde{\eta}(p; z)\widetilde{\eta}(p; w) = g_p\left(\frac{z}{w}\right)\widetilde{\eta}(p; w)\widetilde{\eta}(p; z). \tag{5.11}$$

Hence we have the following.

$$E(p; z)E(p; w) = (\eta(p; z) - \widetilde{\eta}(p; z)q^{-\beta a_0})(\eta(p; w) - \widetilde{\eta}(p; w)q^{-\beta a_0})$$
$$= \eta(p; z)\eta(p; w) - \eta(p; z)\widetilde{\eta}(p; w)q^{-\beta a_0} - \widetilde{\eta}(p; z)\eta(p; w)q^{-\beta a_0} + \widetilde{\eta}(p; z)\widetilde{\eta}(p; w)q^{-2\beta a_0}$$
$$= g_p\left(\frac{z}{w}\right)(\eta(p; w)\eta(p; z) - \eta(p; w)\widetilde{\eta}(p; z)q^{-\beta a_0} - \widetilde{\eta}(p; w)\eta(p; z)q^{-\beta a_0} + \widetilde{\eta}(p; w)\widetilde{\eta}(p; z)q^{-2\beta a_0})$$
$$= g_p\left(\frac{z}{w}\right)E(p; w)E(p; z).$$

(2) Let us recall the relations shown in the proposition 3.7 as

$$\eta(p; z)\xi(p; w) = \frac{\Theta_p(q\gamma w/z)\Theta_p(q^{-1}\gamma^{-1}w/z)}{\Theta_p(\gamma w/z)\Theta_p(\gamma^{-1}w/z)} : \eta(p; z)\xi(p; w) :, \tag{5.12}$$

$$\xi(p; w)\eta(p; z) = \frac{\Theta_p(q\gamma z/w)\Theta_p(q^{-1}\gamma^{-1}z/w)}{\Theta_p(\gamma z/w)\Theta_p(\gamma^{-1}z/w)} : \eta(p; z)\xi(p; w) : . \tag{5.13}$$

We define $A(x)$ as follows :

$$A(x) := \frac{\Theta_p(q\gamma x)\Theta_p(q^{-1}\gamma^{-1}x)}{\Theta_p(\gamma x)\Theta_p(\gamma^{-1}x)}. \tag{5.14}$$

Then we have

$$E(p; z)F(p; w) = (\eta(p; z) - \widetilde{\eta}(p; z)q^{-\beta a_0})(\xi(p; w) - \widetilde{\xi}(p; w)q^{\beta a_0})$$
$$= A\left(\frac{w}{z}\right) : \eta(p; z)\xi(p; w) : -A\left(\frac{w}{z}\right) : \eta(p; z)\widetilde{\xi}(p; w) : q^{\beta a_0}$$
$$- A\left(\frac{w}{p^{-1}z}\right) : \widetilde{\eta}(p; z)\xi(p; w) : q^{-\beta a_0} + A\left(\frac{w}{p^{-1}z}\right) : \widetilde{\eta}(p; z)\widetilde{\xi}(p; w) :$$
$$= A\left(\frac{w}{z}\right) : \eta(p; z)\xi(p; w) : -A\left(\frac{w}{z}\right) : \eta(p; z)\widetilde{\xi}(p; w) : q^{\beta a_0}$$
$$- A\left(\frac{z}{w}\right) : \widetilde{\eta}(p; z)\xi(p; w) : q^{-\beta a_0} + A\left(\frac{z}{w}\right) : \widetilde{\eta}(p; z)\widetilde{\xi}(p; w) :,$$

$$F(p; w)E(p; z) = (\xi(p; w) - \widetilde{\xi}(p; w)q^{\beta a_0})(\eta(p; z) - \widetilde{\eta}(p; z)q^{-\beta a_0})$$
$$= A\left(\frac{z}{w}\right) : \eta(p; z)\xi(p; w) : -A\left(\frac{z}{w}\right) : \widetilde{\eta}(p; z)\xi(p; w) : q^{-\beta a_0}$$
$$- A\left(\frac{z}{p^{-1}w}\right) : \eta(p; z)\widetilde{\xi}(p; w) : q^{\beta a_0} + A\left(\frac{z}{p^{-1}w}\right) : \widetilde{\eta}(p; z)\widetilde{\xi}(p; w) :$$
$$= A\left(\frac{z}{w}\right) : \eta(p; z)\xi(p; w) : -A\left(\frac{z}{w}\right) : \widetilde{\eta}(p; z)\xi(p; w) : q^{-\beta a_0}$$
$$- A\left(\frac{w}{z}\right) : \eta(p; z)\widetilde{\xi}(p; w) : q^{\beta a_0} + A\left(\frac{w}{z}\right) : \widetilde{\eta}(p; z)\widetilde{\xi}(p; w) : .$$

Here we use the relation $A(px) = A(x^{-1})$. From them we have

$$[E(p; z), F(p; w)] = \left\{A\left(\frac{w}{z}\right) - A\left(\frac{z}{w}\right)\right\}(: \eta(p; z)\xi(p; w) : - : \widetilde{\eta}(p; z)\widetilde{\xi}(p; w) :).$$

Let us recall that

$$A(x) - A(x^{-1}) = \frac{\Theta_p(q)\Theta_p(t^{-1})}{(p; p)_\infty^3 \Theta_p(qt^{-1})}\{\delta(\gamma x) - \delta(\gamma^{-1}x)\}. \tag{5.15}$$

Using the relation we have

$$
[E(p;z), F(p;w)]
$$
$$
= \frac{\Theta_p(q)\Theta_p(t^{-1})}{(p;p)_\infty^3 \Theta_p(qt^{-1})} \left\{ \delta\left(\gamma\frac{w}{z}\right) - \delta\left(\gamma^{-1}\frac{w}{z}\right) \right\} (: \eta(p;z)\xi(p;w) : - : \widetilde{\eta}(p;z)\widetilde{\xi}(p;w) :)
$$
$$
= \frac{\Theta_p(q)\Theta_p(t^{-1})}{(p;p)_\infty^3 \Theta_p(qt^{-1})} \delta\left(\gamma\frac{w}{z}\right) (: \eta(p;\gamma w)\xi(p;w) : - : \widetilde{\eta}(p;\gamma w)\widetilde{\xi}(p;w) :)
$$
$$
- \frac{\Theta_p(q)\Theta_p(t^{-1})}{(p;p)_\infty^3 \Theta_p(qt^{-1})} \delta\left(\gamma^{-1}\frac{w}{z}\right) (: \eta(p;\gamma^{-1}w)\xi(p;w) : - : \widetilde{\eta}(p;\gamma^{-1}w)\widetilde{\xi}(p;w) :).
$$

Then we have $: \eta(p;\gamma w)\xi(p;w) := \varphi^+(p;\gamma^{1/2}w)$, $: \eta(p;\gamma^{-1}w)\xi(p;w) := \varphi^-(p;\gamma^{-1/2}w)$ and also have

$$
: \widetilde{\eta}(p;\gamma w)\widetilde{\xi}(p;w) := (\eta(p;\gamma w))_-(\xi(p;w))_-(\eta(p;\gamma p^{-1}w))_+(\xi(p;p^{-1}w))_+
$$
$$
= \varphi^+(p;\gamma^{1/2}p^{-1}w),
$$
$$
: \widetilde{\eta}(p;\gamma^{-1}w)\widetilde{\xi}(p;w) := (\eta(p;\gamma^{-1}w))_-(\xi(p;w))_-(\eta(p;\gamma^{-1}p^{-1}w))_+(\xi(p;p^{-1}w))_+
$$
$$
= \varphi^-(p;\gamma^{-1/2}w).
$$

Therefore we have (5.5).   □

Remark 5.3. From the relation (5.5), we have the commutativity of constant terms $[E(p;z)]_1$, $[F(p;z)]_1$: $[[E(p;z)]_1, [F(p;w)]_1] = 0$. This corresponds to the commutativity of the elliptic Macdonald operators as $[H_N(q,t,p), H_N(q^{-1},t^{-1},p)] = 0$. It seems that for the free field realization of the elliptic Macdonald operator, we should use the operators $E(p;z)$ and $F(p;z)$.

5.3   Perspectives

In this paper, we have considered an elliptic analog of the Ding-Iohara algebra and a possibility of the free field realization of the elliptic Macdonald operator. In the following, we mention some ideas which can be cultivated in the future.

5.3.1   Elliptic q-Virasoro algebra, elliptic q-W_N algebra

As we have shown, starting from the elliptic kernel function $\Pi(q,t,p)(x,y)$ we can construct the elliptic currents $\eta(p;z)$, $\xi(p;z)$ and $\varphi^\pm(p;z)$ which satisfy the relations of the elliptic Ding-Iohara algebra. Furthermore we obtain the procedure of making elliptic currents, namely the method of elliptic deformation. Actually, we can apply the method of elliptic deformation to the free field realization of the q-Virasoro algebra, consequently an elliptic analog of the q-Virasoro algebra arises. Similarly, we can also construct free field realization of an elliptic analog of the q-W_N algebra. In a near future, we would like to report these materials as the continuation of the present paper [26].

5.3.2   Research of elliptic Macdonald symmetric functions

To construct an elliptic analog of the Macdonald symmetric functions (in the following, we call it the elliptic Macdonald symmetric functions for short) is required for good understanding and research of some materials, for example the elliptic Ruijsenaars model [1],

the superconformal index [22][23][24], etc. To construct the elliptic Macdonald symmetric functions, there would be a possibility to have an elliptic analog of the integral representations of the Macdonald symmetric functions. The integral representations of the Macdonald symmetric functions tells us that the Macdonald symmetric functions can be reproduced by the kernel function $\Pi(q,t)(x,y)$ and the weight function $\Delta(q,t)(x)$ defined by

$$\Delta(q,t)(x) := \prod_{i \neq j} \frac{(x_i/x_j; q)_\infty}{(tx_i/x_j; q)_\infty}$$

and the "seed" of the Macdonald symmetric functions [9]. The seed of the Macdonald symmetric functions are monomials. As is seen in the previous sections, we already have the elliptic kernel function $\Pi(q,t,p)(x,y)$, and the elliptic weight function $\Delta(q,t,p)(x)$ is also known [13] :

$$\Delta(q,t,p)(x) := \prod_{i \neq j} \frac{\Gamma_{q,p}(tx_i/x_j)}{\Gamma_{q,p}(x_i/x_j)}.$$

But we don't know what is the seed of the elliptic Macdonald symmetric functions, i.e. the most simplest and nontrivial eigen functions of the elliptic Macdonald operator are not known. Therefore to construct an elliptic analog of the integral representations of the Macdonald symmetric functions is not accomplished.

On the other hand, it is known that the singular vectors of the q-Virasoro algebra and the q-W_N algebra corresponds to the Macdonald symmetric functions [10][11][12]. Perhaps there would be a way to make the elliptic Macdonald symmetric functions from the elliptic analog of the q-Virasoro algebra. In the continuation paper [26], we construct an elliptic analog of the screening currents of the q-Virasoro algebra, and a correlation function of product of the elliptic screening currents reproduces the elliptic kernel function $\Pi(q,t,p)(x,y)$ as well as the elliptic weight function $\Delta(q,t,p)(x)$. But as we mentioned above, an elliptic analog of the integral representations of the Macdonald symmetric functions is not obtained yet.

6 Appendix

6.1 Appendix A : Boson calculus

In this subsection we review some basic facts of boson calculus.

Proposition 6.1. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an associative $\mathbf{C}$-algebra. For $A \in \mathcal{A}$, we set the exponential of A denoted by e^A as follows.*

$$e^A := \exp(A) := \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{n!} A^n.$$

Then for $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$, the following holds :

$$e^A B e^{-A} = e^{\mathrm{ad(A)}} B,$$

where we define $\mathrm{ad(A)B} := \mathrm{AB} - \mathrm{BA}$.

***Proof*.** Let us define $F(t) := e^{tA}Be^{-tA}$ $(t \in \mathbf{C})$, then we can check the following :

$$\frac{d^n}{dt^n}F(t) \mid_{t=0} = \mathrm{ad}(A)^n B \quad (n \geq 0).$$

By the Taylor expansion of $F(t)$ around $t = 0$, we have

$$F(t) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{t^n}{n!}\frac{d^n}{dt^n}F(t) \mid_{t=0} = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{t^n}{n!}\mathrm{ad}(A)^n B = e^{t\mathrm{ad}(A)}B.$$

From this expression of $F(t)$, we have $F(1) = e^A B e^{-A} = e^{\mathrm{ad}(A)}B.$ $\square$

By this proposition, we have $e^A e^B e^{-A} = \exp(e^{\mathrm{ad}(A)}B)$. Then the corollary holds.

Corollary 6.2. *For $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$, if $[A, B] \in \mathbf{C}$ we have the following :*

$$e^A e^B = e^{[A,B]}e^B e^A.$$

This corollary is essentially the same as Wick's theorem which we use frequently in this paper.

Next we are going to prove Wick's theorem. First we set an associative $\mathbf{C}$-algebra denoted by $\mathcal{B}$ which is generated by $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbf{Z}\setminus\{0\}}$ and the defining relation :

$$[a_m, a_n] = A(m)\delta_{m+n,0} \quad (A(m) \in \mathbf{C}). \tag{6.1}$$

We call this type algebras *bosons*. For example, if we choose $A(m) = m\dfrac{1 - q^{|m|}}{1 - t^{|m|}}$ then

$$[a_m, a_n] = m\frac{1 - q^{|m|}}{1 - t^{|m|}}\delta_{m+n,0}, \tag{6.2}$$

where this is one of the algebra of boson used in this paper. We define the normal ordering $: \bullet :$ by

$$: a_m a_n := \begin{cases} a_m a_n & (m < n), \\ a_n a_m & (m \geq n). \end{cases} \tag{6.3}$$

For $\{X_n\}_{n \in \mathbf{Z}\setminus\{0\}}$ $(X_n \in \mathbf{C})$, we set $X(z) \in \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathbf{C}[[z, z^{-1}]]$ as a formal power series by

$$X(z) := \sum_{n \neq 0} X_n a_n z^{-n}.$$

We define *the plus part of $X(z)$* denoted by $(X(z))_+$ and *the minus part of $X(z)$* denoted by $(X(z))_-$ as follows :

$$(X(z))_+ := \sum_{n > 0} X_n a_n z^{-n}, \quad (X(z))_- := \sum_{n < 0} X_n a_n z^{-n}. \tag{6.4}$$

In this notation, we have

$$: \exp(X(z)) := \exp((X(z))_-)\exp((X(z))_+).$$

Proposition 6.3 (Wick's theorem). *For boson operators $X(z) \in \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathbf{C}[[z, z^{-1}]]$ and $Y(w) \in \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathbf{C}[[w, w^{-1}]]$, if $[(X(z))_+, (Y(w))_-] \in \mathbf{C}[[(w/z)]]$ exists we define $\langle X(z), Y(w)\rangle$ by*

$$\langle X(z), Y(w)\rangle := [(X(z))_+, (Y(w))_-]. \tag{6.5}$$

Then we have

$$: \exp(X(z)) :: \exp(Y(w)) := \exp(\langle X(z), Y(w)\rangle) : \exp(X(z)) \exp(Y(w)) : . \tag{6.6}$$

As an example of how to use Wick's theorem, we consider the boson algebra (6.2) and define $\eta(z)$ by

$$\eta(z) :=: \exp\left(-\sum_{n \neq 0}(1 - t^n)a_n \frac{z^{-n}}{n}\right) : .$$

Let us show the following :

$$\eta(z)\eta(w) = \frac{(1 - w/z)(1 - qt^{-1}w/z)}{(1 - qw/z)(1 - t^{-1}w/z)} : \eta(z)\eta(w) : .$$

By Wick's theorem, we have

$$\eta(z)\eta(w) = \exp\left(\sum_{m>0}\sum_{n<0}(1 - t^m)(1 - t^n)[a_m, a_n]\frac{z^{-m}w^{-n}}{mn}\right) : \eta(z)\eta(w) :$$

$$= \exp\left(\sum_{m>0}\sum_{n<0}(1 - t^m)(1 - t^n)m\frac{1 - q^{|m|}}{1 - t^{|m|}}\delta_{m+n,0}\frac{z^{-m}w^{-n}}{mn}\right) : \eta(z)\eta(w) :$$

$$= \exp\left(\sum_{m>0}(1 - t^m)(1 - t^{-m})m\frac{1 - q^m}{1 - t^m}\frac{z^{-m}w^m}{m(-m)}\right) : \eta(z)\eta(w) :$$

$$= \exp\left(-\sum_{m>0}(1 - q^m)(1 - t^{-m})\frac{(w/z)^m}{m}\right) : \eta(z)\eta(w) :$$

$$= \frac{(1 - w/z)(1 - qt^{-1}w/z)}{(1 - qw/z)(1 - t^{-1}w/z)} : \eta(z)\eta(w) :,$$

where we use $\log(1 - x) = -\displaystyle\sum_{n>0}\frac{x^n}{n}$ $(|x| < 1)$.

6.2 Appendix B : Some formulas

In this subsection, we show some formulas which are used in this paper.

6.2.1 Ramanujan's summation formula

We show Ramanujan's summation formula which is used in section 4. As a preparation, we show the q-binomial theorem. In the following, we assume that the base $q \in \mathbf{C}$ satisfies $|q| < 1$. Let us define

$$(x; q)_\infty := \prod_{n \geq 0}(1 - xq^n), \quad (x; q)_n := \frac{(x; q)_\infty}{(q^n x; q)_\infty} \quad (n \in \mathbf{Z}).$$

Proposition 6.4 (*q*-**Binomial theorem**). *For $a \in \mathbf{C}$, the following holds :*

$$\frac{(az;q)_\infty}{(z;q)_\infty} = \sum_{n\geq 0} \frac{(a;q)_n}{(q;q)_n} z^n \quad (|z| < 1).$$

Proof. First, we expand $(az;q)_\infty/(z;q)_\infty$ as follows :

$$\frac{(az;q)_\infty}{(z;q)_\infty} = \sum_{n\geq 0} c_n z^n.$$

Therefore what we have to show is $c_n = (a;q)_n/(q;q)_n$. Then by the equation

$$\frac{(aqz;q)_\infty}{(qz;q)_\infty} = \frac{1-z}{1-az} \frac{(az;q)_\infty}{(z;q)_\infty},$$

we have the following :

$$q^n c_n - aq^{n-1} c_{n-1} = c_n - c_{n-1} \iff c_n = \frac{1-aq^{n-1}}{1-q^n} c_{n-1}.$$

By using this relation repeatedly, we have

$$c_n = \frac{1-aq^{n-1}}{1-q^n} \frac{1-aq^{n-2}}{1-q^{n-1}} \cdots \frac{1-a}{1-q} c_0 = \frac{(a;q)_n}{(q;q)_n} c_0.$$

Then $c_0 = 1$, hence we have $c_n = (a;q)_n/(q;q)_n$. $\square$

In the *q*-binomial theorem, setting $a = 0$ we have

$$\frac{1}{(z;q)_\infty} = \sum_{n\geq 0} \frac{1}{(q;q)_n} z^n. \tag{6.7}$$

Similar to the proof of the *q*-binomial theorem, Euler's formula is shown :

$$(z;q)_\infty = \sum_{n\geq 0} \frac{(-1)^n q^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}}{(q;q)_n} z^n. \tag{6.8}$$

Before giving the proof of Ramanujan's summation formula, we show Jacobi's triple product formula by using Euler's formula and the *q*-binomial theorem.

Proposition 6.5 (Jacobi's triple product formula).

$$(q;q)_\infty (z;q)_\infty (qz^{-1};q)_\infty = \sum_{n\in\mathbf{Z}} (-1)^n z^n q^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}. \tag{6.9}$$

Proof. First, we rewrite $(z;q)_\infty$ as

$$(z;q)_\infty = \sum_{n\geq 0} \frac{(-1)^n q^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}}{(q;q)_n} z^n = \sum_{n\geq 0} (-1)^n q^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \frac{(q^{n+1};q)_\infty}{(q;q)_\infty} z^n$$

$$= \frac{1}{(q;q)_\infty} \sum_{n\in\mathbf{Z}} (-1)^n q^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} (q^{n+1};q)_\infty z^n \quad (\because \text{ For } n < 0, (q^{n+1};q)_\infty = 0).$$

Furthermore, by applying Euler's formula to $(q^{n+1}; q)_\infty$ we have

$$(z; q)_\infty = \frac{1}{(q; q)_\infty} \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} (-1)^n q^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \sum_{r \geq 0} \frac{(-1)^r q^{\frac{r(r-1)}{2}}}{(q; q)_r} (q^{n+1})^r z^n$$

$$= \frac{1}{(q; q)_\infty} \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbf{Z} \\ r \geq 0}} (-1)^{n+r} z^{n+r} q^{\frac{(n+r)(n+r-1)}{2}} \frac{1}{(q; q)_r} (qz^{-1})^r$$

$$= \frac{1}{(q; q)_\infty} \sum_{r \geq 0} \frac{1}{(q; q)_r} (qz^{-1})^r \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} (-1)^{n+r} z^{n+r} q^{\frac{(n+r)(n+r-1)}{2}}.$$

Then by substitution $n \to n - r$, we have

$$(z; q)_\infty = \frac{1}{(q; q)_\infty} \sum_{r \geq 0} \frac{1}{(q; q)_r} (qz^{-1})^r \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} (-1)^n z^n q^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} = \frac{1}{(q; q)_\infty (qz^{-1}; q)_\infty} \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} (-1)^n z^n q^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}.$$

Finally we have the following :

$$(q; q)_\infty (z; q)_\infty (qz^{-1}; q)_\infty = \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} (-1)^n z^n q^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}. \quad \square$$

Jacobi's triple product formula means that

$$\Theta_p(z) = (p; p)_\infty (z; p)_\infty (pz^{-1}; p)_\infty = \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} (-1)^n z^n p^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}.$$

Next let us prove Ramanujan's summation formula. We define the bilateral series $_1\psi_1 \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix}; z$ by

$$_1\psi_1 \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix}; z := \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} \frac{(a; q)_n}{(b; q)_n} z^n. \tag{6.10}$$

Then we can check the relation

$$(a; q)_n = (1 - a)(1 - aq) \cdots (1 - aq^{n-1}),$$

$$(a; q)_{-n} = \frac{1}{(1 - aq^{-n})(1 - aq^{-n+1}) \cdots (1 - aq^{-1})} = \frac{q^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}}}{(-1)^n a^n (q/a; q)_n} \quad (n > 0).$$

By these relations, the series $_1\psi_1 \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix}; z$ can be rewritten as follows :

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} \frac{(a; q)_n}{(b; q)_n} z^n = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(a; q)_n}{(b; q)_n} z^n + \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{(q/b; q)_n}{(q/a; q)_n} \left(\frac{b}{az}\right)^n.$$

Therefore the series $_1\psi_1 \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix}; z$ converges in $|a^{-1}b| < |z| < 1$.

Proposition 6.6 (Ramanujan's summation formula). *For parameters $a, b \in \mathbf{C}$, the following holds :*

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} \frac{(a; q)_n}{(b; q)_n} z^n = \frac{(az; q)_\infty (q/az; q)_\infty (b/a; q)_\infty (q; q)_\infty}{(z; q)_\infty (b/az; q)_\infty (q/a; q)_\infty (b; q)_\infty} \quad (|a^{-1}b| < |z| < 1). \tag{6.11}$$

Proof. We are going to follow the proof due to Gasper and Rahman [4]. To prove this, we set

$$f(b) := {}_1\psi_1\left(\begin{matrix} a \\ b \end{matrix}; z\right) = \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} \frac{(a;q)_n}{(b;q)_n} z^n$$

as a function of b. We are going to show (6.11) by using a difference equation of $f(b)$. First, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
{}_1\psi_1\left(\begin{matrix} a \\ b \end{matrix}; z\right) - a\,{}_1\psi_1\left(\begin{matrix} a \\ b \end{matrix}; qz\right) &= \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}}\left\{\frac{(a;q)_n}{(b;q)_n} - a\frac{(a;q)_n}{(b;q)_n}q^n\right\} z^n = \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}}\frac{(a;q)_{n+1}}{(b;q)_n} z^n \\
&= (1 - b/q)\sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}}\frac{(a;q)_{n+1}}{(b/q;q)_{n+1}} z^n = (1 - b/q)z^{-1}\sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}}\frac{(a;q)_{n+1}}{(b/q;q)_{n+1}} z^{n+1} \\
&= (1 - b/q)z^{-1}\,{}_1\psi_1\left(\begin{matrix} a \\ b/q \end{matrix}; z\right),
\end{aligned}$$

therefore the following relation holds :

$$f(b) - (1 - b/q)z^{-1}f(q^{-1}b) = a\,{}_1\psi_1\left(\begin{matrix} a \\ b \end{matrix}; qz\right).$$

Taking a substitution $b \to qb$, we have the following :

$$f(qb) - (1 - b)z^{-1}f(b) = a\,{}_1\psi_1\left(\begin{matrix} a \\ qb \end{matrix}; qz\right).$$

Second, for $a\,{}_1\psi_1\left(\begin{matrix} a \\ qb \end{matrix}; qz\right)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
a\,{}_1\psi_1\left(\begin{matrix} a \\ qb \end{matrix}; qz\right) &= a\sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}}\frac{(a;q)_n}{(qb;q)_n}q^n z^n \\
&= -ab^{-1}\sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}}\frac{(a;q)_n(1 - bq^n - 1)}{(qb;q)_n} z^n \\
&= -ab^{-1}\sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}}\frac{(a;q)_n(1 - bq^n)}{(qb;q)_n} z^n + ab^{-1}\sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}}\frac{(a;q)_n}{(qb;q)_n} z^n \\
&= -ab^{-1}(1 - b)\sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}}\frac{(a;q)_n}{(b;q)_n} z^n + ab^{-1}f(qb) \\
&= -ab^{-1}(1 - b)f(b) + ab^{-1}f(qb).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$f(qb) - (1 - b)z^{-1}f(b) = -ab^{-1}(1 - b)f(b) + ab^{-1}f(qb) \iff f(b) = \frac{1 - b/a}{(1 - b)(1 - b/az)}f(qb).$$

By using this relation repeatedly, we have the following :

$$f(b) = \frac{(b/a;q)_\infty}{(b;q)_\infty(b/az;q)_\infty}f(0).$$

Instead of $f(0)$, we determine $f(q)$. Then we have

$$f(q) = \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} \frac{(a; q)_n}{(q; q)_n} z^n = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(a; q)_n}{(q; q)_n} z^n \overset{\substack{q\text{-binomial} \\ \text{theorem}}}{=} \frac{(az; q)_\infty}{(z; q)_\infty} \quad \left(\because \text{For } n < 0, \ \frac{1}{(q; q)_n} = 0 \right)$$

$$= \frac{(q/a; q)_\infty}{(q; q)_\infty (q/az; q)_\infty} f(0).$$

Thus we have

$$f(0) = \frac{(az; q)_\infty (q/az; q)_\infty (q; q)_\infty}{(z; q)_\infty (q/a; q)_\infty}.$$

Consequently, we have the following :

$$f(b) = \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} \frac{(a; q)_n}{(b; q)_n} z^n = \frac{(az; q)_\infty (q/az; q)_\infty (b/a; q)_\infty (q; q)_\infty}{(z; q)_\infty (b/az; q)_\infty (b; q)_\infty (q/a; q)_\infty}. \quad \square$$

6.2.2   Partial fraction expansion formula

Proposition 6.7 (**Partial fraction expansion** [17]). *Let* $[u]\,(u \in \mathbf{C})$ *be an entire function which satisfies the following relations :*

(1) *Odd function* : $[-u] = -[u]$, $\hspace{8cm}$ (6.12)

(2) *The Riemann relation* :

$$[x + z][x - z][y + w][y - w] - [x + w][x - w][y + z][y - z] = [x + y][x - y][z + w][z - w].$$
$$\hspace{14cm} (6.13)$$

For $N \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0}$ *and parameters* q_i, $c_i\,(1 \leq i \leq N)$, *set* $c_{(N)} := \sum_{i=1}^{N} c_i$. *Then the following holds :*

$$\prod_{i=1}^{N} \frac{[u - q_i + c_i]}{[u - q_i]} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{[c_i]}{[c_{(N)}]} \frac{[u - q_i + c_{(N)}]}{[u - q_i]} \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{[q_i - q_j + c_j]}{[q_i - q_j]}. \hspace{2cm} (6.14)$$

Proof. The proposition is shown by the Riemann relation and the induction of N. In the case $N = 2$, we are going to show

$$\frac{[u - q_1 + c_1]}{[u - q_1]} \frac{[u - q_2 + c_2]}{[u - q_2]}$$
$$= \frac{[c_1]}{[c_{(2)}]} \frac{[u - q_1 + c_{(2)}]}{[u - q_1]} \frac{[q_1 - q_2 + c_2]}{[q_1 - q_2]} + \frac{[c_2]}{[c_{(2)}]} \frac{[u - q_2 + c_{(2)}]}{[u - q_2]} \frac{[q_2 - q_1 + c_1]}{[q_2 - q_1]}.$$

By multiplying the both hand sides by $[c_{(2)}][u - q_1][u - q_2][q_1 - q_2]$, the equation we have to show takes the form as follows :

$$[c_{(2)}][q_1 - q_2][u - q_1 + c_1][u - q_2 + c_2]$$
$$= [c_1][u - q_1 + c_{(2)}][u - q_2][q_1 - q_2 + c_2] - [c_2][u - q_2 + c_{(2)}][u - q_1][q_2 - q_1 + c_1]. \quad (6.15)$$

Here we show (Right hand side of (6.15)) → (Left hand side of (6.15)) by the Riemann relation. Then we define x, y, z, w by

$$x + y = c_{(2)}, \quad x - y = q_1 - q_2, \quad z + w = u - q_1 + c_1, \quad z - w = u - q_2 + c_2.$$

It is clear that (Left hand side of (6.15)) $= [x + y][x - y][z + w][z - w]$. On the other hand, x, y, z, w are

$$x = \frac{q_1 - q_2 + c_{(2)}}{2}, \quad y = \frac{q_2 - q_1 + c_{(2)}}{2}, \quad z = \frac{2u - q_1 - q_2 + c_{(2)}}{2}, \quad w = \frac{-q_1 + q_2 + c_1 - c_2}{2},$$

hence we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text{(Right hand side of (6.15))} \quad &= [x + w][y + z][z - y][x - w] - [y - w][x + z][z - x][y + w] \\
&= [x + z][x - z][y + w][y - w] - [x + w][x - w][y + z][y - z] \\
&\overset{\substack{\text{Riemann} \\ \text{relation}}}{=} [x + y][x - y][z + w][z - w],
\end{aligned}
$$

therefore (6.15) is satisfied.

Next we suppose that the relation (6.14) holds for $\exists N \geq 2$. Then in the case of $N + 1$, we have the following :

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\prod_{i=1}^{N+1} \frac{[u - q_i + c_i]}{[u - q_i]} \\
&= \frac{[u - q_{N+1} + c_{N+1}]}{[u - q_{N+1}]} \prod_{i=1}^{N} \frac{[u - q_i + c_i]}{[u - q_i]} \\
&= \frac{[u - q_{N+1} + c_{N+1}]}{[u - q_{N+1}]} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{[c_i]}{[c_{(N)}]} \frac{[u - q_i + c_{(N)}]}{[u - q_i]} \prod_{1 \leq j \leq N, j \neq i} \frac{[q_i - q_j + c_j]}{[q_i - q_j]} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{[c_i]}{[c_{(N)}]} \left\{ \frac{[c_{N+1}]}{[c_{(N+1)}]} \frac{[u - q_{N+1} + c_{(N+1)}]}{[u - q_{N+1}]} \frac{[q_{N+1} - q_i + c_{(N)}]}{[q_{N+1} - q_i]} \right. \\
&\qquad \left. + \frac{[c_{(N)}]}{[c_{(N+1)}]} \frac{[u - q_i + c_{(N+1)}]}{[u - q_i]} \frac{[q_i - q_{N+1} + c_{N+1}]}{[q_i - q_{N+1}]} \right\} \prod_{1 \leq j \leq N, j \neq i} \frac{[q_i - q_j + c_j]}{[q_i - q_j]} \\
&= \frac{[c_{N+1}]}{[c_{(N+1)}]} \frac{[u - q_{N+1} + c_{(N+1)}]}{[u - q_{N+1}]} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{[c_i]}{[c_{(N)}]} \frac{[q_{N+1} - q_i + c_{(N)}]}{[q_{N+1} - q_i]} \prod_{1 \leq j \leq N, j \neq i} \frac{[q_i - q_j + c_j]}{[q_i - q_j]} \\
&\qquad + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{[c_i]}{[c_{(N+1)}]} \frac{[u - q_i + c_{(N+1)}]}{[u - q_i]} \prod_{1 \leq j \leq N+1, j \neq i} \frac{[q_i - q_j + c_j]}{[q_i - q_j]}.
\end{aligned}
$$

From the hypothesis of the induction, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{[c_i]}{[c_{(N)}]} \frac{[q_{N+1} - q_i + c_{(N)}]}{[q_{N+1} - q_i]} \prod_{1 \leq j \leq N, j \neq i} \frac{[q_i - q_j + c_j]}{[q_i - q_j]} = \prod_{j=1}^{N} \frac{[q_{N+1} - q_j + c_j]}{[q_{N+1} - q_j]}.$$

Therefore we have

$$\prod_{i=1}^{N+1} \frac{[u - q_i + c_i]}{[u - q_i]} = \frac{[c_{N+1}]}{[c_{(N+1)}]} \frac{[u - q_{N+1} + c_{(N+1)}]}{[u - q_{N+1}]} \prod_{j=1}^{N} \frac{[q_{N+1} - q_j + c_j]}{[q_{N+1} - q_j]}$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{[c_i]}{[c_{(N+1)}]} \frac{[u - q_i + c_{(N+1)}]}{[u - q_i]} \prod_{1 \le j \le N+1, j \ne i} \frac{[q_i - q_j + c_j]}{[q_i - q_j]}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N+1} \frac{[c_i]}{[c_{(N)}]} \frac{[u - q_i + c_{(N)}]}{[u - q_i]} \prod_{1 \le j \le N+1, j \ne i} \frac{[q_i - q_j + c_j]}{[q_i - q_j]},$$

then this shows that the relation (6.14) holds in the case of $N + 1$. By the induction the proof of the proposition 6.7 is complete.   □

The proposition 6.7 is written by additive variables. Let us rewrite it by multiplicative variables. The theta function is defined by

$$\Theta_p(x) = (p; p)_\infty (x; p)_\infty (px^{-1}; p)_\infty.$$

Proposition 6.8 (The Riemann relation of the theta function $\Theta_p(x)$). *For the theta function $\Theta_p(x)$, the Riemann relation holds as follows :*

$$\Theta_p(xz)\Theta_p(x/z)\Theta_p(yw)\Theta_p(y/w) - \Theta_p(xw)\Theta_p(x/w)\Theta_p(yz)\Theta_p(y/z)$$

$$= \frac{y}{z}\Theta_p(xy)\Theta_p(x/y)\Theta_p(zw)\Theta_p(z/w). \qquad (6.16)$$

Sketch of the proof. For $x \in \mathbf{C}$, we set $f(x)$ as the ratio of the right hand side of (6.16) and the left hand side of (6.16) :

$$f(x) := \frac{(y/z)\Theta_p(xy)\Theta_p(x/y)\Theta_p(zw)\Theta_p(z/w)}{\Theta_p(xz)\Theta_p(x/z)\Theta_p(yw)\Theta_p(y/w) - \Theta_p(xw)\Theta_p(x/w)\Theta_p(yz)\Theta_p(y/z)}. \qquad (6.17)$$

Then we can check $f(px) = f(x)$ by the property of the theta function $\Theta_p(px) = -x^{-1}\Theta_p(x)$. Besides $f(x)$ has no poles in the region $|p| \le |x| \le 1$. This shows that $f(x)$ is bounded on $\mathbf{C}^\times$. By the Liouville theorem $f(x)$ is constant, i.e. the ratio (6.17) does not depends on x. Since the ratio (6.17) does not depends on x, we have $f(x) = f(w) = 1$. Therefore (6.16) holds.   □

For a variable $z \in \mathbf{C}$, we define the additive variable $u \in \mathbf{C}$ as $z = e^{2\pi iu}$. In this notation, we set $[u]$ as

$$[u] := -z^{-1/2}\Theta_p(z). \qquad (6.18)$$

Using this notation, the Riemann relation of the theta function (6.16) is rewritten to the form (6.13). Consequently, we have

Proposition 6.9. *Set z, x_j, t_j $(1 \le j \le N)$ by*

$$z := e^{2\pi iu}, \quad x_j := e^{2\pi iq_j}, \quad t_j := e^{2\pi ic_j}, \quad t_{(N)} := t_1 t_2 \cdots t_N,$$

where u, q_j, c_j $(1 \le j \le N)$ are variable and parameters in the proposition 6.7. From (6.14), a product of the theta function is decomposed into the partial fraction as follows :

$$\prod_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\Theta_p(t_i x_i^{-1} z)}{\Theta_p(x_i^{-1} z)} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\Theta_p(t_i)}{\Theta_p(t_{(N)})} \frac{\Theta_p(t_{(N)} x_i^{-1} z)}{\Theta_p(x_i^{-1} z)} \prod_{j \ne i} \frac{\Theta_p(t_j x_i/x_j)}{\Theta_p(x_i/x_j)}. \qquad (6.19)$$

The theta function $\Theta_p(x)$ satisfies $\Theta_p(x) \xrightarrow[p \to 0]{} 1 - x$. From the trigonometric limit of (6.19), hence we have

$$\prod_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1 - t_i x_i^{-1} z}{1 - x_i^{-1} z} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1 - t_i}{1 - t_{(N)}} \frac{1 - t_{(N)} x_i^{-1} z}{1 - x_i^{-1} z} \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{1 - t_j x_i / x_j}{1 - x_i / x_j}. \tag{6.20}$$

References

[1] S. N. M. Ruijsenaars. *Complete Integrability of Relativistic Calogero-Moser Systems and Elliptic Function Identities.* Commun. Math. Physic. Volume 110. (1987).

[2] I. G. Macdonald. *A New Class of Symmetric Functions.* Publ. I.R.M.A. Strasbourg, (1988), 372/S-20, Actes 20e Séminaire Lotharingien, p. 131-171.

[3] I. G. Macdonald. *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials.* 2nd edition, Oxford University Press (1995).

[4] G. Gasper, M. Rahman. *Basic Hypergeometric Series.* 1st edition, Encyclopedia Of Mathematics and Its Applications, Cambridge University Press (1990).

[5] M. Morimoto. *Lectures on the Sato Hyperfunction.* Originally published in 1976, republished in 2000. (in Japanese), Tokyo : Kyoritsu-shuppan Co., Ltd.

[6] J. Shiraishi. *Free Boson Representastion of $U_q(\widehat{sl_2})$.* Phys. Lett. A 171 (1992) 243-248 arXiv:hep-th/920914v2.

[7] H. Awata, S. Odake, J. Shiraishi. *Free Boson Realization of $U_q(\widehat{sl_N})$.* Commn. Math. Phys. 162, (1994) 61-83

[8] H. Awata, Y. Matsuo, S. Odake, J. Shiraishi. *Excited States of Calogero-Sutherland Model and Singular Vectors of the W_N Algebra.* Nucl. Phys. Lett. B 347 (1995) arXiv:hep-th/9503043v4.

[9] H. Awata, S. Odake, J. Shiraishi. *Integral Representations of the Macdonald Symmetric Polynomials.* Commun. Math. Phys. 179 (1996) 647-666

[10] J. Shiraishi, H. Kubo, H. Awata, S. Odake. *A Quantum Deformation of the Virasoro Algebra and the Macdonald Symmetric Function.* Lett. Math. Phys. 38 (1996) arXiv:q-alg/9507034v5.

[11] H. Awata, H. Kubo, J. Shiraishi. *Quantum W_N Algebras and Macdonald Polynomials.* Commun. Math. Phys. 179 (1996) 401-416

[12] H. Awata, H. Kubo, S. Odake, J. Shiraishi. *Quantum Deformation of the W_N Algebra.* (1996) arXiv:q-alg/9612001v1.

[13] Y. Komori. *Notes on the Elliptic Ruijsenaars Operators.* Letters in Mathematical Physics, Volume 46, Number 2, 147-155 (1998).

[14] J. Shiraishi. *Lectures on Quantum Integrable System.* SGC Library **Vol. 28** (in Japanese), Tokyo : Saiensu-sha Co., Ltd, (2003).

[15] J. Ding, K. Iohara. *Generalization of Drinfeld quantum affine algebras.* Lett. Math. Phys. **41** (1997), no. 2, 181-193.

[16] K. Miki. *A (q, γ) analog of the $W_{1+\infty}$ algebra.* J. Math. Phys. **48**, 123520 ; doi:10.1063/1.2823979 (2007).

[17] B. Feigin, K. Hashizume, A. Hoshino, J. Shiraishi, S. Yanagida. *A Commutative Algebra on Degenerate $\mathbf{CP}^1$ and Macdonald Polynomials.* J. Math. Phys. **50** (2009) arXiv:0904.2291.

[18] Y. Komori, M. Noumi, J. Shiraishi. *Kernel Functions for Difference Operators of Ruijsenaars Type and Their Applications.* SIGMA. Symmetry, Integrability and Geometry : Methods and Applications. Volume 5 (2009) arXiv:0812.0279v4.

[19] H. Awata, Y. Yamada. *Five-dimensional AGT Conjecture and the Deformed Virasoro Algebra.* Journal of High Energy Physics, volume 2010, 1, 125 (2010) arXiv:0910.4431v3.

[20] H. Awata, Y. Yamada. *Five-dimensional AGT Relation and the Deformed β-ensemble.* Progress of Theoretical Physics , Vol 124, No. 2, (2010) 227-262 arXiv:1004.5122v2.

[21] H. Awata, B. Feigin, A. Hoshino, M. Kanai, J. Shiraishi, S. Yanagida. *Notes on Ding-Iohara algebra and AGT conjecture.* (2011) arXiv:1106.4088v3.

[22] H. Awata, B. Feigin, J. Shiraishi. *Quantum algebraic approach to refined topological vertex.* (2011) arXiv:1112.6074v1.

[23] V. P. Spiridonov. *Essays on the theory of elliptic hypergeometric functions.* Uspekin Mat. Analysis Nauk 63 no 3 (2008) arXiv:0805.3135v1.

[24] V. P. Spiridonov, G. S. Vartanov. *Elliptic Hypergeometry of Supersymmetric Dualities.* (2011) arXiv:0910.5944v3.

[25] V. P. Spiridonov, G. S. Vartanov. *Elliptic Hypergeometry of Supersymmetric Dualities II. Orthogonal groups, Knots, and Vortices.* (2011) arXiv:1107.5788v2.

[26] D. Gaiotto, L. Rastelli, S. S. Razamat. *Boostrapping the superconformal index with surface defects.* (2012) arXiv:12073577v1.

[27] Y. Saito. *Elliptic q-Virasoro Algebra and its Free Field Realization.* In preparation.